

Fingrid Oyj  
PL 503  
00101 Helsinki

## **Päätös Fingrid Oyj:n ehdotukseen Nordic kapasiteetin laskenta-alueen yhteisen kapasiteetin laskentamenetelmän muuttamisesta**

### **Asianosainen**

Fingrid Oyj

### **Vireilletulo**

20.4.2020

### **Ratkaisu**

Energiavirasto vahvistaa Fingrid Oyj:n 20.4.2020 toimittaman ehdotuksen Nordic kapasiteetin laskenta-alueen yhteisestä kapasiteetin laskentamenetelmästä muuttaen sitä tässä päätöksessä ja sen liitteissä esitetyin tavoin.

Päätös on voimassa toistaiseksi.

Päätöstä on noudatettava muutoksenhausta huolimatta.

### **Selostus asiasta**

#### **Fingrid Oyj:n toimittama ehdotus**

Fingrid Oyj toimitti Energiavirastoon vahvistettavaksi kapasiteetin jakamista ja ylikuormituksen hallintaa koskevien suuntaviivojen vahvistamisesta annetun Euroopan komission asetuksen (EU) 2015/1222 (jäljempänä myös CACM suuntaviivat) 20 artiklan 2 kohdan mukaisen Nordic kapasiteetin laskenta-alueen yhteistä kapasiteetin laskentamenetelmää koskevan muutospyyntöä 20.4.2020.

Muutospyyntöä esitettiin kapasiteetin laskentamenetelmän muuttamista vastamaan paremmin energia-alan sääntelyviranomaisten yhteistyövirasto ACER:n lokakuussa 2019 antamaa päätöstä pitkän aikavälin kapasiteetin laskentamenetelmästä<sup>1</sup>. Menetelmään ehdotettiin lisättävän väliaikainen laskentamalli, jota käytetään kunnes päivänsisäisen markkinan sovellusalusta kykenee laskemaan kapasiteetteja siirtoihin perustuvilla parametreilla. Tämän lisäksi Fingrid esitti muutoksia implementointisuunnitelmaan. Ennen muutospyyntöä toimittamista Fingrid järjesti

<sup>1</sup> DECISION No 16/2019 OF THE EUROPEAN UNION AGENCY FOR THE COOPERATION OF ENERGY REGULATORS of 30 October 2019 approving the Nordic CCR TSOs' proposal for the long-term capacity calculation methodology



CACM suuntaviivojen 12 artiklan mukaisesti kuulemisen kaikkien alueen siirtoverkonhaltijoiden kanssa.

### **Sidosryhmien kuuleminen ehdotuksesta**

Energiavirasto sekä muut Nordic kapasiteetin laskenta-alueen sääntelyviranomaiset järjestivät muutospyyntöä julkisen kuulemisen ajalla 10.6-29.6.2020. Sääntelyviranomaiset saivat 16 vastausta kuulemiseen.

Sidosryhmien vastauksissa korostuivat erityisesti tarve varmistaa, että laskenta-menettelmä toimii riittävän hyvin ennen käyttöönottoa, päivänsisäisen kapasiteetin laskennan merkitys rinnakkaisajoissa sekä simulaatioissa, läpinäkyvyys menetelmän kehityksen tai rinnakkaisajojen aikana sekä menetelmän hyötyjen todentaminen.

### **Asiaan liittyvä lainsäädäntö**

#### **Komission asetus (EU) 2015/1222 kapasiteetin jakamista ja ylikuormituksen hallintaa koskevien suuntaviivojen vahvistamisesta**

CACM suuntaviivojen 3 artiklan mukaan:

” Tämän asetuksen tavoitteena on

- a) edistää tehokasta kilpailua sähkön tuotannossa, kaupassa ja toimittamisessa;
- b) varmistaa siirtoinfrastruktuurin optimaalinen käyttö;
- c) varmistaa käyttövarmuus;
- d) optimoida alueiden välisen kapasiteetin laskenta ja jakaminen;
- e) varmistaa siirtoverkonhaltijoiden, nimitettyjen sähkömarkkinaoperaattoreiden, viraston, sääntelyviranomaisten ja markkinaosapuolten oikeudenmukainen ja syrjimätön kohtelu;
- f) varmistaa tietojen avoimuus ja luotettavuus ja parantaa niitä;
- g) edistää Euroopan sähkönsiirtoverkon ja sähköalan tehokasta toimintaa ja kehittämistä pitkällä aikavälillä;
- h) ottaa huomioon tarve taata oikeudenmukaiset ja säännönmukaisesti toimivat markkinat sekä oikeudenmukainen ja säännönmukainen hinnanmuodostus;
- i) luoda tasapuoliset toimintaedellytykset nimitetyille sähkömarkkinaoperaattoreille;
- j) tarjota syrjimätön pääsy alueiden väliseen kapasiteettiin.”



CACM suuntaviivojen 6 artiklan mukaan:

” 6. Seuraavia ehtoja ja edellytyksiä tai menetelmiä koskeville ehdotuksille tarvitaan kaikkien sääntelyviranomaisten hyväksyntä:

b) 15 artiklan 1 kohdan mukaiset kapasiteetin laskenta-alueet;”

CACM suuntaviivojen 9 artiklan mukaan:

” 10. Jos ehtoja ja edellytyksiä tai menetelmiä koskevan ehdotuksen hyväksyminen edellyttää useamman kuin yhden sääntelyviranomaisten päätöstä, toimivaltaisten sääntelyviranomaisten on kuultava toisiaan, tehtävä tiivistä yhteistyötä ja koordinoitava toimiaan sopimukseen pääsemiseksi. Toimivaltaisten sääntelyviranomaisten on soveltuviin tapauksiin otettava huomioon viraston lausunto. Sääntelyviranomaisten on tehtävä 6, 7 ja 8 kohdan mukaisesti ehdotettuja ehtoja ja edellytyksiä tai menetelmiä koskevat päätökset kuuden kuukauden kuluessa siitä, kun sääntelyviranomainen tai, soveltuviin tapauksiin, viimeinen asianosainen sääntelyviranomainen on vastaanottanut ehdot ja edellytykset tai menetelmät.

13. Ehtoja ja edellytyksiä tai menetelmiä koskevan ehdotuksen laatimisesta vastaavat siirtoverkonhaltijat tai nimitetyt sähkömarkkinaoperaattorit ja niiden hyväksymisestä 6, 7 ja 8 kohdan mukaisesti vastaavat sääntelyviranomaiset voivat pyytää näiden ehtojen ja edellytysten tai menetelmien muuttamista.

Ehtojen ja edellytysten tai menetelmien muuttamista koskevista ehdotuksista on järjestettävä kuuleminen 12 artiklassa säädetyn menettelyn mukaisesti, ja ehdotukset on hyväksyttävä tässä artiklassa säädetyn menettelyn mukaisesti.”

### **Euroopan parlamentin ja neuvoston asetus Euroopan unionin energia-alan sääntelyviranomaisen yhteistyöviraston perustamisesta (EU) 2019/942 (jäljempänä myös ACER asetus)**

ACER asetuksen 5 artiklan mukaan:

”3. Kun jossakin seuraavista säädöksistä säädetään ehdotusten laatimisesta sellaisten verkkosääntöjen ja suuntaviivojen täytäntöönpanoa koskeviksi ehdoiksi tai menetelmiksi, jotka edellyttävät asianomaisen alueen kaikkien sääntelyviranomaisten hyväksyntää, kyseisten sääntelyviranomaisten on päästävä asiasta sopimukseen yksimielisesti:

a) tavallista lainsäätämisyjärjestystä noudattaen annetut unionin säädökset;

b) ennen 4 päivää heinäkuuta 2019 hyväksytyt verkkosäännöt ja suuntaviivat ja kyseisten verkkosääntöjen ja suuntaviivojen myöhemmät tarkistukset; tai

c) täytäntöönpanosäädöksinä asetuksen (EU) N:o 182/2011 5 artiklan nojalla hyväksytyt verkkosäännöt ja suuntaviivat.”

”6. Ennen 2 ja 3 kohdassa tarkoitettujen ehtojen tai menetelmien hyväksymistä sääntelyviranomaiset tarkistavat ne tai ACER, silloin kun se on toimivaltainen, tarkistaa ne tarvittaessa Sähkö-ENTSOa, Kaasu-ENTSOa tai EU DSO -elintä kuullen



sen varmistamiseksi, että ehdot tai menetelmät ovat yhdenmukaisia verkkosäätö- tai suuntaviivojen tarkoituksen kanssa ja edistävät markkinoiden yhdenmukaistamista, syrjimättömyyttä, toimivaa kilpailua ja markkinoiden asianmukaista toimintaa. ACER tekee päätöksen hyväksymisestä asiaankuuluvissa verkkosäätöissä ja suuntaviivoissa täsmennetyin ajanjakson kuluessa. Ajanjakso alkaa sitä päivää seuraavana päivänä, jona ehdotus saatettiin ACERin käsiteltäväksi.”

## Perustelut

### Energiaviraston toimivalta

Euroopan parlamentin ja neuvoston direktiivin 2009/72/EY 35 artiklan mukaan kukin jäsenvaltio on nimettävä yksi kansallinen sääntelyviranomaisella tasolla.

Lain Energiavirastosta (870/2013) 1 §:n 2 momentin mukaan Energiavirasto hoitaa kansalliselle sääntelyviranomaiselle kuuluvat tehtävät, joista säädetään:

3) sähkön sisämarkkinoita koskevista yhteisistä säännöistä ja direktiivin 2003/54/EY kumoamisesta annetun Euroopan parlamentin ja neuvoston direktiivin 2009/72/EY, jäljempänä sähkömarkkinadirektiivi, nojalla annetuissa, suuntaviivoja koskevissa komission asetuksissa tai päätöksissä.

### Sidosryhmien kuuleminen ennen ehdotuksen toimittamista

Fingrid toimitti 20.4.2020 ehdotuksen Nordic kapasiteetin laskenta-alueen yhteisen kapasiteetin laskentamenetelmän muuttamisesta. Ennen ehdotuksen toimittamista Fingrid järjesti CACM suuntaviivojen 12 artiklan mukaisesti kuulemisen kaikkien alueen siirtoverkonhaltijoiden kanssa.

### Sääntelyviranomaisten yhteistyö

Euroopan unionin energia-alan sääntelyviranomaisen yhteistyöviraston perustamisesta annetun Euroopan parlamentin ja neuvoston asetuksen (EU) 2019/942 (jäljempänä myös ACER asetus) 5 artiklan 6 kohdan mukaan sääntelyviranomaiset tarkistavat ehdot tai menetelmät ennen niiden hyväksymistä.

CACM suuntaviivojen 9 artiklan 10 kohdan mukaan, jos ehtoja ja edellytyksiä tai menetelmiä koskevan ehdotuksen hyväksyminen edellyttää useamman kuin yhden sääntelyviranomaisten päätöstä, toimivaltaisten sääntelyviranomaisten on kuultava toisiaan, tehtävä tiivistä yhteistyötä ja koordinoitava toimiaan sopimukseen pääsemiseksi.

Energiavirasto on tätä päätöstä valmisteltaessa tehnyt tiivistä yhteistyötä ja koordinoinut toimiaan muiden Nordic kapasiteetin laskenta-alueen toimivaltaisten sääntelyviranomaisten kanssa.

Energiaviraston päätös noudattaa Nordic kapasiteetin laskenta-alueen sääntelyviranomaisten 14.10.2020 yhteisesti sopimaa linjaa, joka on esitetty tämän päätöksen liitteenä dokumentissa ”Approval by all Regulatory Authorities of CCR



*Nordic on the TSOs' Proposal for a Capacity Calculation Methodology in accordance with Article 20.2 of Commission Regulation (EU) 2015/1222 of 24 July 2015 establishing a Guideline on Capacity Allocation and Congestion Management on the Determination of Capacity Calculation Regions, 14 October 2020".*

## Ehdotuksen arviointi

Energiavirasto sekä muut Nordic kapasiteetin laskenta-alueen kansalliset sääntelyviranomaiset tulivat ehdotusta arvioituaan yhteiseen tulokseen siitä, että ehdotettu menetelmä täyttää pääpiirteittäin CACM suuntaviivojen vaatimukset, mutta sitä täytyy tarkentaa implementointisuunnitelman osalta. Menetelmän katsottiin olevan epäselvä erityisesti sen osalta, miten varmistetaan siirtoihin perustuvan kapasiteetin laskentamenetelmän toimivuus riittävän hyvin ennen sen käyttöönottoa. Sääntelyviranomaiset katsoivat lisäksi, että ilman tarkennuksia ehdotetun menetelmän mukaiset rinnakkaisajot eivät antaisi sidosryhmille riittävän tarkkaa tietoa tai riittävää aikaa mukautua menetelmän muuttumiseen.

Energiavirasto sekä muut Nordic kapasiteetin laskenta-alueen kansalliset sääntelyviranomaiset sopivat ehdotetun menetelmän implementointisuunnitelman muuttamisesta siten, että siihen lisätään aikaisintaan viiden kuukauden kuluttua rinnakkaisajojen alkamisen jälkeen oleva tarkastuspiste, jonka yhteydessä sääntelyviranomaiset arvioivat laskentamenetelmän toimivuutta. Tarkastuspisteen lisäämisellä pyritään varmistamaan siirtoihin perustuvan kapasiteetin laskentamenetelmän riittävän hyvä toimivuus ennen sen käyttöönottoa sekä parantamaan menetelmän läpinäkyvyyttä ja ymmärrettävyyttä sidosryhmille ja muille markkinaosapuolille.

Kantaverkkoyhtiöt veloitetaan tässä tarkastuspisteessä toimittamaan sääntelyviranomaisille laskentamenetelmän toimivuutta kuvaava selvitys, jonka on katettava tulokset rinnakkaisajoista vähintään kolmen peräkkäisen kuukauden ajalta mahdollisimman läheltä selvityksen toimittamisajankohtaa. Ennen selvityksen toimittamista kantaverkkoyhtiöt veloitetaan järjestämään sidosryhmätilaisuus, jossa käsitellään selvityksen luonnosversiota. Selvityksen tulee sisältää myös sidosryhmien rinnakkaisajojen aikana kantaverkkoyhtiöille lähettämät kirjalliset kysymykset sekä kantaverkkoyhtiöiden vastaukset niihin.

Energiavirasto sekä muut Nordic kapasiteetin laskenta-alueen kansalliset sääntelyviranomaiset arvioivat tarkastuspisteen yhteydessä menetelmän toimivuutta selvitykseen perustuen. Kantaverkkoyhtiöiden on jatkettava selvityksen toimittamisen jälkeen rinnakkaisajoja vielä kuuden kuukauden ajan ennen menetelmän käyttöönottoa, jotta sidosryhmillä on riittävät mahdollisuudet mukautua menetelmän muuttumiseen. Mikäli sääntelyviranomaiset arvioivat, että menetelmä ei toimi riittävän hyvin, kantaverkkoyhtiöt veloitetaan pidentämään rinnakkaisajojen kestoa, kehittämään menetelmän operatiivista täytäntöönpanoa ja toimittamaan päivitetty selvitys menetelmän toimivuudesta sääntelyviranomaisten arvioitavaksi. Mikäli selvityksen tai muiden seikkojen perusteella kapasiteetin laskennan menetelmässä ilmenee puutteita, CACM suuntaviivojen 9 artiklan 13 kohdan mukaan sääntelyviranomaiset voivat myös pyytää menetelmän muuttamista.



Energiavirasto katsoo, että implementointisuunnitelman tarkennuksen ja tarkistuspisteen lisäämisen jälkeen menetelmä mahdollistaa riittävän hyvän toiminnan varmistamisen sekä antaa sidosryhmille riittävän tarkkaa tietoa ja riittävästi aikaa muuttaa kapasiteetin laskentamenetelmän muuttumiseen.

Energiavirasto katsoo, että Fingridin 20.4.2020 toimittama ehdotus Nordic kapasiteetin laskenta-alueen yhteisestä kapasiteetin laskentamenetelmästä täyttää edellä kuvatuilla tarkennuksilla CACM suuntaviivojen vaatimukset ja tavoitteet ja siten voidaan hyväksyä tämän päätöksen liitteissä esitetyillä muutoksilla.

### **Sovelletut säännökset**

Komission asetus (EU) 2015/1222 3 artikla, 9 artikla, 12 artikla, 20–30 artiklat

Euroopan parlamentin ja neuvoston asetus (EU) 2019/942, 5 artikla

Laki sähkö- ja maakaasumarkkinoiden valvonnasta (590/2013) 36 §, 38 §

### **Muutoksenhaku**

Muutoksenhakua koskeva ohjeistus liitteenä.

### **Lisätiedot**

Lisätietoja asiasta antaa asiantuntija Jori Sänkki, jori.sankki@energiavirasto.fi, puh. 029 5050 079.

Liitteet Valitusosoitus Markkinaoikeuteen

Approval by all Regulatory Authorities of CCR Nordic on the TSOs' Proposal for a Capacity Calculation Methodology in accordance with Article 20.2 of Commission Regulation (EU) 2015/1222 of 24 July 2015 establishing a Guideline on Capacity Allocation and Congestion Management on the Determination of Capacity Calculation Regions, 14 October 2020

All TSOs' of the Nordic Capacity Calculation Region proposal for amendment on capacity calculation methodology in accordance with Article 20(2) of Commission Regulation (EU) 2015/1222 of 24 July 2015 establishing a guideline on capacity allocation and congestion management, 17 April 2020

Sääntelyviranomaisten muutokset kantaverkkoyhtiöiden ehdotukseen; Amendments to the methodology

Nordic Capacity Calculation Region capacity calculation methodology in accordance with Article 20(2) of Commission Regulation (EU) 2015/1222 of 24 July 2015 establishing a guideline on capacity allocation and congestion management, 14 April 2020.

Jakelu Fingrid Oyj



## VALITUSOSOITUS

### **Valitusoikeus hallintopäätöksestä**

Energiaviraston antamaan hallintopäätökseen saa hakea muutosta valittamalla siten kuin laissa oikeudenkäynnistä hallintoasioissa (808/2019) säädetään. Valituskelpoisella hallintopäätöksellä tarkoitetaan päätöstä, jolla asia on ratkaistu tai jätetty tutkimatta.

Hallintopäätökseen saa hakea muutosta valittamalla se, johon päätös on kohdistettu tai jonka oikeuteen, velvollisuuteen tai etuun päätös välittömästi vaikuttaa ja se, jonka valitusoikeudesta laissa erikseen säädetään.

### **Valitusviranomainen**

Valitusviranomainen Energiaviraston päätökseen on markkinaoikeus.

### **Valituksen tekeminen ja valitusaika**

Valituksen saa tehdä sillä perusteella, että päätös on lainvastainen.

Valitus on tehtävä kirjallisesti 30 päivän kuluessa päätöksen tiedoksisaannista.

Valituksen tekemisestä säädetään lisäksi sähköisestä asioinnista viranomaistoiminnassa annetussa laissa (13/2003). Määräaikojen laskemisesta säädetään säädettyjen määräaikain laskemisesta annetussa laissa (150/1930).

### **Valituksen sisältö**

Valituksessa on ilmoitettava:

- päätös, johon haetaan muutosta (*valituksen kohteena oleva päätös*);
- miltä kohdin päätökseen haetaan muutosta ja mitä muutoksia siihen vaaditaan tehtäväksi (*vaatimukset*);
- vaatimusten perustelut; sekä
- mihin valitusoikeus perustuu, jos valituksen kohteena oleva päätös ei kohdistu valittajaan.

Valituksessa on lisäksi ilmoitettava valittajan nimi ja yhteystiedot. Jos puhevaltaa käyttää valittajan laillinen edustaja tai asiamies, myös tämän yhteystiedot on ilmoitettava. Yhteystietojen muutoksesta on valituksen vireillä ollessa ilmoitettava viipymättä tuomioistuimelle.



Valituksessa on ilmoitettava myös se postiosoite ja mahdollinen muu osoite, johon oikeudenkäyntiin liittyvät asiakirjat voidaan lähettää (*prosessiosoite*). Mikäli valittaja on ilmoittanut enemmän kuin yhden prosessiosoitteen, voi tuomioistuin valita, mihin ilmoitetuista osoitteista se toimittaa oikeudenkäyntiin liittyvät asiakirjat.

Oikaisuvaatimuksen tekijä saa valittaessaan oikaisuvaatimuspäätöksestä esittää vaatimuksilleen uusia perusteluja. Hän saa esittää uuden vaatimuksen vain, jos se perustuu olosuhteiden muutokseen tai oikaisuvaatimuksen tekemisen määräajan päättymisen jälkeen valittajan tietoon tulleeseen seikkaan.

## **Valituksen liitteet**

Valitukseen on liitettävä:

- valituksen kohteena oleva päätös valitusosoituksineen;
- selvitys siitä, milloin valittaja on saanut päätöksen tiedoksi, tai muu selvitys valitusajan alkamisen ajankohdasta; sekä
- asiakirjat, joihin valittaja vetoaa vaatimuksensa tueksi, jollei niitä ole jo aikaisemmin toimitettu viranomaiselle.

## **Valituskirjelmän toimittaminen valitusviranomaiselle**

Valituskirjelmä on toimitettava valitusajan kuluessa markkinaoikeuteen, jonka osoite on:

**Markkinaoikeus  
Radanrakentajantie 5  
00520 HELSINKI**

**faksi: 029 56 43314  
sähköposti: [markkinaoikeus@oikeus.fi](mailto:markkinaoikeus@oikeus.fi)**

Valituskirjelmä voidaan toimittaa valitusviranomaiselle myös postitse.

Valituksen voi tehdä myös hallinto- ja erityistuomioistuinten asiointipalvelussa osoitteessa <https://asiointi2.oikeus.fi/hallintotuomioistuimet>

*Kun valituskirjelmä toimitetaan hallinto- ja erityistuomioistuinten asiointipalvelun kautta, liitteet voi toimittaa skannattuna asiointipalvelussa tai kirjeitse. Kirjeitse toimitettaessa mainitse asiasta asiointipalvelun Viesti-kentässä.*

## **Oikeudenkäyntimaksu**

Valittajalta peritään markkinaoikeudessa oikeudenkäyntimaksu 2050 euroa. Tuomioistuinmaksulaissa (1455/2015) on erikseen säädetty tapauksista, joissa maksua ei peritä.



2020-10-14

**Approval by all Regulatory Authorities of CCR Nordic  
on the TSOs' Proposal for a Capacity Calculation  
Methodology in accordance with Article 20.2 of Commission  
Regulation (EU) 2015/1222 of 24 July 2015 establishing a  
Guideline on Capacity Allocation and Congestion  
Management on the Determination of Capacity Calculation  
Regions**

This document constitutes an agreement of All Regulatory Authorities of the CCR Nordic (hereafter referred to as NRAs), agreed XX October 2020, on the **all TSOs of CCR Nordic (hereinafter referred to as TSOs) Proposal for a Capacity Calculation Methodology in accordance with Article 20.2 of Commission Regulation (EU) 2015/1222 of 24 July 2015 establishing a Guideline on Capacity Allocation and Congestion Management** (hereafter referred to as respectively "CCM Proposal" and "Regulation 2015/1222"), as submitted in April 2020.

This agreement of NRAs shall provide evidence that a decision on the amended CCM Proposal does not, at this stage, need to be adopted by ACER pursuant to Article 9(11) of Regulation 2015/1222. This agreement is intended to constitute the basis on which All Regulatory Authorities of CCR Nordic will each subsequently adopt a decision to the CCM Proposal pursuant to Article 9 (7) (a).

*The legal provisions relevant to the submission and approval of the CCM Proposal can be found in Articles 3, 9, 12 and 20-30 of Regulation 2015/1222.*

### **The CCM Proposal**

TSOs proposal was submitted to NRAs 17th April 2020. This proposal is the second amendment to the methodology that was originally approved in July 2018.

Regulation 2015/1222 requires NRAs to consult and closely cooperate and coordinate with each other to reach agreement and make decisions within six months following receipt of submissions of the last Regulatory Authority concerned. In the event that one or several regulatory authorities request an amendment to approve the proposal, the competent regulatory authorities shall decide on the amended proposal within two months following their submission.

A decision is therefore required by each Regulatory Authority of the Nordic CCR (Denmark, Finland and Sweden) by 17 October 2020.

### **NRAs' position**

NRAs acknowledge that TSOs have improved the CCM Proposal compared to the previous version. In general, the Capacity Calculation Methodology fulfils the requirements as set out in CACM GL while harmonizing this methodology to the one approved by ACER for the long term timeframes in accordance with FCA GL ((EU) 2016/1719). NRAs have made amendments to the implementation plan in Article 26 of the methodology. These serve to establish a checkpoint that the implementation is functioning well enough and to ensure sufficient access to market simulations/parallel runs for stakeholders.

The conditions for approving the amended proposal are fulfilled. Each Regulatory Authority of the Nordic CCM therefore agrees to approve the amended proposal in a national decision.

### **Actions / conclusion**

NRAs have assessed, consulted and closely cooperated and coordinated to reach the agreement that the CCM Proposal meets the requirements of Regulation 2015/1222 and as such can be approved by all Regulatory Authorities of CCR Nordic.

NRAs must therefore, on the basis of this agreement, make their national decisions by 17 October 2020. The CCM Proposal will be adopted upon the decision of the last NRA of CCR Nordic concerned. Following the national decisions by all, TSOs will be required to publish the CCM Proposal as approved, in line with Article 9(14) of Regulation 2015/1222. All TSOs must respect the implementation deadlines provided in the CCM Proposal.

### **Signatories**

- Annex I – TSOs' proposal from April 2020
- Annex II – NRA's amendments to TSOs' proposal
- Annex III – Consolidated approved methodology

---

All TSOs' of the Nordic Capacity Calculation Region  
proposal for amendment on capacity calculation methodology  
in accordance with Article 20(2) of Commission Regulation  
(EU) 2015/1222 of 24 July 2015 establishing a guideline on  
capacity allocation and congestion management

17 April 2020

---

# Table of Contents

Whereas .....	4
<b>TITLE I General</b> .....	6
Article 1 Subject matter and scope.....	6
Article 2 Definitions and interpretation.....	7
<b>TITLE 2 Description of capacity calculation input for day-ahead and intraday timeframe</b> ...	9
Article 3 Methodology for determining reliability margin .....	9
Article 4 Methodology for determining operational security limits .....	10
Article 5 Methodology for determining critical network elements and contingencies relevant to capacity calculation .....	11
Article 6 Methodology for allocation constraints .....	12
Article 7 Methodology for determining generation shift keys (GSKs).....	13
Article 8 Rules for avoiding undue discrimination between internal and cross-zonal exchanges .....	15
Article 9 Methodology for determining remedial actions (RAs) to be considered in capacity calculation.....	15
Article 10 Impact of remedial actions (RAs) on critical network elements .....	16
Article 11 Previously allocated cross-zonal capacities .....	16
<b>TITLE 3 Description of the capacity calculation process for day-ahead and intraday timeframe</b> .....	16
Article 12 Description of the applied capacity calculation approach with different capacity calculation inputs .....	16
Article 13 Description of the calculation of power transfer distribution factors .....	18
Article 14 Definition of the final list of CNECs for day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation.....	19
Article 15 Rules on the adjustment of power flows on critical network elements due to RAs.	20
Article 16 Rules for taking into account previously allocated cross-zonal capacity .....	20
Article 17 Description of the calculation of available margins on critical network elements before validation.....	21
Article 18 Rules for sharing the power flow capabilities of CNECs among different CCRs ...	23
<b>TITLE 4 Description of capacity validation for day-ahead and intraday timeframe</b> .....	23
Article 19 Methodology for the validation of cross-zonal capacity .....	23
<b>TITLE 5 Miscellaneous</b> .....	25
Article 20 Transitional solution for calculation and allocation of intraday cross-zonal capacities for continuous trading in the Intraday timeframe .....	25
Article 21 Reassessment frequency of cross-zonal capacity for the intraday timeframe .....	26
Article 22 Fallback procedure if the initial capacity calculation does not lead to any results .	26

<b>Article 23 Monitoring data to the Nordic regulatory authorities</b> .....	26
<b>Article 24 Reviews and updates</b> .....	26
<b>Article 25 Publication of data</b> .....	27
<b>TITLE 6 Final Provisions</b> .....	29
<b>Article 26 Publication and Implementation</b> .....	29
<b>Article 27 Language</b> .....	31

All TSOs of the Nordic Capacity Calculation Region, taking into account the following:

### **Whereas**

- (1) This document is a common proposal for the second amendment (hereafter referred to as “Second Amendment”) developed by all Transmission System Operators (hereafter referred to as “TSOs”) of the Nordic Capacity Calculation Region (hereafter referred to as “Nordic CCR”) as defined in accordance with Article 15 of Commission Regulation (EU) 2015/1222 establishing a guideline on Capacity Allocation and Congestion Management (hereafter referred to as the “CACM Regulation”) regarding a methodology for Capacity Calculation (hereafter referred to as “CCM”) in accordance with Article 20 and Article 21 of the CACM Regulation.
- (2) All regulatory authorities of Nordic CCR (hereafter referred to as the “Nordic regulatory authorities”) have approved the CCM in July 2018. These regulatory authorities have sent a request for amendment (hereafter referred to as “RfA”) to all TSOs in December 2018 in accordance with Article 9(13) of the CACM Regulation. All TSOs submitted to all Nordic regulatory authorities a first proposal for amendment (hereafter referred to as the “First Amendment”) regarding methodology for Capacity Calculation in accordance with Article 20(2) and Article 21 of the CACM Regulation. The First Amendment was approved by all Nordic regulatory authorities in autumn 2019.
- (3) The First Amendment took into account the requested changes to capacity calculation methodology listed in the RfA. It expanded Article 4(1) of the approved methodology to state that each TSO is required to provide the operational security limits to the CCC in an appropriate format compliant with the RfA. The First Amendment included a capacity calculation process in a written format clarifying the roles and responsibilities of TSOs and the CCC. In addition, the First Amendment took into account the request that the TSOs start to develop an appropriate grid model in coordination with each other, in order for the CCC to handle dynamic stability in capacity calculation.
- (4) The Second Amendment takes into account the general principles and goals set in the CACM Regulation as well as Regulation (EU) 2019/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on the internal market of electricity (hereafter referred to as “Regulation (EU) 2019/943”). The goal of the CACM Regulation is the coordination and harmonisation of capacity calculation and allocation in the day-ahead and intraday cross-border markets, and it sets requirements for the TSOs to co-operate on the level of CCR for coordinated capacity calculation.
- (5) The Second Amendment substitutes entirely the approved CCM and the First Amendment. This Second Amendment aligns the CCM for day-ahead and intraday timeframe with the capacity calculation methodology for the long-term timeframe as decided by ACER in the decision No 16/2019.
- (6) This Second Amendment provides a transition period for the allocation of intraday cross-zonal capacities based on flow-based (hereafter referred to as “FB”) parameters. Until the single intraday coupling is able to allocate cross-zonal capacities using the FB parameters, the TSOs may convert the FB parameters resulting from the application of the FB approach into available

transfer capacities ('ATC') for each bidding zone border to be used for intraday capacity allocation by the single intraday coupling.

- (7) According to Article 9(9) of the CACM Regulation, the expected impact of the proposal on the objectives of the CACM Regulation shall be described. The Second Amendment contributes to and does not in any way hamper the achievement of the objectives of Article 3 of the CACM Regulation. In particular, the Second Amendment serves the same objectives as the approved CCM and the First Amendment. In addition, the Second Amendment harmonises the CCM across long-term, day-ahead and intraday timeframes defining the same transitional solution for intraday timeframe as defined for the long-term timeframe.
- (8) The Second Amendment promotes effective competition in the generation, trading and supply of electricity (Article 3(a) of the CACM Regulation) since the Second Amendment supports fair and equal access to the transmission system as it applies to all market participants on all bidding zone borders in Nordic CCR. Market participants will have access to the same reliable information on cross-zonal capacities and allocation constraints for the day-ahead and the intraday allocation, in a transparent way. The FB approach does not implicitly pre-select or exclude bids from market participants and, hence the competitiveness of bidding is the only criteria on which bids of market participants are selected during the matching, yet taking the significant grid constraints into consideration. The CCM applies remedial actions (hereafter referred to as "RAs"), increasing cross-zonal capacity and capacity on internal critical network elements (hereafter referred to as "CNE") in order to improve effective competition between internal and cross-zonal trades, taking operational security and economic efficiency into account.
- (9) The Second Amendment secures optimal use of the transmission capacity (Article 3(b) of the CACM Regulation) as it takes advantage of the FB approach, representing the limitations in the alternating current (hereafter referred to as "AC") grids. The approach aims at providing the maximum available capacity to market participants within the operational security limits. Non-costly RAs are taken into account if they are available. There is no predefined and static split of the capacities on CNEs. The flows within the Nordic CCR and between the Nordic CCR and adjacent CCRs are decided based on economic efficiency during the capacity allocation phase. The CCM treats all bidding zone borders within the Nordic CCR and adjacent CCRs equally and provides non-discriminatory access to the cross-zonal capacity. For the intraday timeframe, the transitional solution ensures better use of transmission capacity compared to the currently applied method until the FB approach is implemented.
- (10) The Second Amendment secures operational security (Article 3(c) of the CACM Regulation) as the grid constraints are taken into account in the day-ahead and intraday timeframe providing the maximum available capacity to market participants within the operational security limits, hereby not allowing for more cross-zonal exchange possibilities than can be supported by available RAs. This supports operational security in a short time perspective, where bidding zone re-configuration will be used in a mid-term perspective and grid investments in the long-term perspective. Furthermore, the TSOs shall include the description of the format used for the provision of operational security limits to the coordinated capacity calculator (hereafter referred to as "CCC"). In addition, operational security is ensured by the development of appropriate grid models and processes in order for the CCC to handle dynamic stability in capacity calculation.
- (11) The Second Amendment serves the objective of optimising the calculation and allocation of cross-zonal capacity in accordance with Article 3(d) of the CACM Regulation since the CCM is using the FB approach for the day-ahead timeframe and also for the intraday timeframe - when the conditions for implementation have been fulfilled - providing optimal cross-zonal capacities to market participants. Better optimisation in the intraday timeframe, compared to the current method, can be achieved with a transitional solution until a FB approach is

implemented. Moreover, optimisation of capacity calculation is secured based on coordination between the TSOs, hereby applying a CGM and a CCC.

- (12) The Second Amendment serves the objective of transparency and reliability of information (Article 3(f) of the CACM Regulation) as the CCM determines the main principles and main processes for the day-ahead and intraday timeframes. The CCM enables TSOs to provide market participants with the same reliable information on cross-zonal capacities and allocation constraints for day-ahead and intraday allocation in a transparent way. To facilitate transparency, the TSOs publish data to the market on a regular basis to help market participants to evaluate the capacity calculation process.
- (13) The Second Amendment does not hinder an efficient long-term operation in Nordic CCR and adjacent CCRs, and the development of the transmission system in the European Union (Article 3(g) of the CACM Regulation). The Second Amendment, by taking most important grid constraints into consideration, will support efficient pricing in the market, providing the right signals from a long-term perspective.
- (14) The Second Amendment contributes to the objective of respecting the need for a fair and orderly market and price formation (Article 3(h) of the CACM Regulation) by making available in due time the cross-zonal capacity to be released in the day-ahead and intraday market.
- (15) The Second Amendment provides non-discriminatory access to cross-zonal capacity (Article 3(j) of the CACM Regulation) as the CCM will be amended within a deadline to improve the methodology by including a method for assessing the economic efficiency of increasing the margin on internal network elements (combined with the relevant contingencies) in the day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation.
- (16) In conclusion, the Second Amendment contributes to the general objectives of the CACM Regulation to the benefit of market participants and electricity end consumers.

**SUBMIT THE FOLLOWING CCM TO ALL REGULATORY AUTHORITIES OF THE NORDIC CCR:**

## **TITLE I**

### **General**

#### **Article 1**

##### **Subject matter and scope**

1. The Second Amendment substitutes entirely the CCM approved by the Nordic regulatory authorities in July 2018 and the First Amendment approved by the Nordic regulatory authorities in autumn 2019.
2. The CCM is the common methodology of all TSOs in Nordic CCR in accordance with Article 20(2) and Article 21 of the CACM Regulation.
3. The CCM applies solely to the Nordic CCR as defined in accordance with Article 15 of the CACM Regulation.
4. The CCM covers the capacity calculation methodologies for the day-ahead and intraday timeframes.



## **Article 2**

### **Definitions and interpretation**

1. For the purposes of the Proposal, the terms used shall have the meaning given to them in Article 2 of the Regulation (EU) 2019/943, Article 2 of the CACM Regulation, Article 3 of Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/1485 of 2 August 2017 establishing a guideline on electricity transmission system operation (hereafter referred to as "SO Regulation"), Article 2 of the Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/2195 of 23 November 2017 establishing a guideline on electricity balancing (hereafter referred to as "Balancing Regulation"), and Article 2 of Commission Regulation (EU) No 543/2013 of 14 June 2013 on submission and publication of data in electricity markets and amending Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 714/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council (hereafter referred to as "Transparency Regulation").
2. In addition, in this CCM, the following terms shall have the meaning below:
  1. 'ATC' means the available transmission capacity on bidding zone borders, which is the transmission capacity that remains available after the deduction of eventual previously allocated capacities and which respects the physical conditions of the transmission system;
  2. 'CCC' means the coordinated capacity calculator, as defined in Article 2(11) of the CACM Regulation, of the Nordic CCR, unless stated otherwise;
  3. 'CCR' means the capacity calculation region as defined in Article 2(3) of the CACM Regulation;
  4. 'CGM' means the common grid model as defined in Article 2(2) of the CACM Regulation and means a CGM established in accordance with the common grid model methodology, pursuant to Article 17 of the CACM Regulation;
  5. 'CNE' means a critical network element;
  6. 'CNEC' means a critical network element monitored under a contingency;
  7. 'combined dynamic constraint' means a limit on the sum of power flows on a set of network elements or partial flows on a set of network elements for the purpose to respect dynamic stability limits;
  8. 'cross-zonal network element' means a network element located on the bidding zone border or connected in series to such network element transferring the same power (without considering the network losses);
  9. ' $F_0$ ' means the linear approximation of a flow in the reference net position on a CNEC or combined dynamic constraint in a situation without any cross-zonal exchanges;
  10. ' $F_{max}$ ' or ' $F_{max}$ ' means the maximum flow on a CNEC or combined dynamic constraint;
  11. ' $F_{RA}$ ' means the flow for increasing the RAM on a CNEC or combined dynamic constraint due to RAs taken into account in capacity calculation;
  12. ' $F_{RM}$ ' means flow for reliability margin for all CNECs and combined dynamic constraints
  13. ' $F_{ref}$ ' means the reference flow on a CNEC or combined dynamic constraint;
  14. 'GSK' means the generation shift key as defined in Article 2(12) of the CACM Regulation;
  15. 'HVDC network element' means a high voltage direct current network element;
  16. 'IGM' means the individual grid model as defined in Article 2(1) of the CACM Regulation;
  17. ' $I_{max}$ ' means the maximum admissible current of a CNE or a CNEC;
  18. 'Nordic CCR' means the Nordic capacity calculation region as determined pursuant to Article 15 of the CACM Regulation;

19. ‘internal CNE’ means a critical network element (CNE) that is located inside a bidding zone and that is limiting the amount of power that can be exchanged between bidding zones;
  20. ‘internal network element’ means a network element, which is not cross-zonal;
  21. ‘merging agent’ means a party, which builds the CGM from IGMs sent by each TSO and sends the CGM to the CCC for capacity calculation;
  22. ‘NP’ or ‘*NP*’ means a net position of a bidding zone, which is the net value of generation and consumption in a bidding zone;
  23. ‘previously allocated cross-zonal capacities’ means the capacities which have already been allocated;
  24. ‘PTDF’ or ‘*PTDF*’ means a power transfer distribution factor;
  25. ‘RA’ means a remedial action as defined in Article 2(13) of the CACM Regulation;
  26. ‘RAM’ or ‘*RAM*’ means a remaining available margin on a CNEC or a combined dynamic constraint;
  27. ‘reference net position’ or ‘reference exchange’ means a position of a bidding zone or an exchange over HVDC interconnection assumed within the CGM;
  28. ‘reliability margin’ or ‘RM’ means the reliability margin as defined in Article 2(14) of the CACM Regulation;
  29. ‘slack node’ means the single reference node per synchronous area used for determination of the PTDF matrix, i.e. shifting the power infeed of generators up results in absorption of the power shift in the slack node. A slack node remains constant for each scenario;
  30. ‘snapshot’ means like a photo of a TSO’s power system state taken from the TSOs’ control system, showing the voltage, currents, and power flows in the power system at the time of taking the photo;
  31. ‘zone-to-slack *PTDF*’ means the PTDF of a commercial exchange between a bidding zone and the slack node;
  32. ‘zone-to-zone *PTDF*’ means the PTDF of a commercial exchange between two bidding zones;
  33. ‘virtual bidding zone’ means a bidding zone without any buy and sell orders from market participants;
  34. the notation  $x$  denotes a scalar;
  35. the notation  $\vec{x}$  denotes a vector; and
  36. the notation  $\mathbf{x}$  denotes a matrix.
3. In this CCM, unless the context requires otherwise:
- (a) the singular indicates the plural and vice versa;
  - (b) any reference to the day-ahead or intraday calculation, day-ahead or intraday capacity calculation process or the day-ahead or intraday capacity calculation methodology shall mean a common day-ahead or intraday calculation, common day-ahead or intraday capacity calculation process and common day-ahead or intraday capacity calculation methodology respectively, which is applied by all TSOs in a common and coordinated way on all bidding zone borders of the Nordic CCR;
  - (c) the table of contents and the headings are inserted for convenience only and do not affect the interpretation of this CCM; and
  - (d) any reference to legislation, regulations, directives, orders, instruments, codes or any other enactment shall include any modifications, extensions or re-enactment of it when in force.

4. For the sake of clarity this CCM does not affect TSOs' right to delegate their task in accordance with the Article 81 of the CACM Regulation. In this CCM the reference to a TSO shall mean Transmission System Operator or to a third party, whom the TSO has delegated task(s) to, in accordance with the CACM Regulation, where applicable. However, the delegating TSO shall remain responsible for ensuring compliance with the obligations under the CACM Regulation.

## **TITLE 2**

### **Description of capacity calculation input for day-ahead and intraday timeframe**

#### **Article 3**

##### **Methodology for determining reliability margin**

1. The TSOs shall determine the reliability margin as follows:
  - (a) The reliability margin (hereafter referred to as “RM”) is determined for AC grid elements only.
  - (b) A probability distribution of the deviation between the expected and realized (observed) power flows is determined at least annually for each AC CNEC and combined dynamic constraint, based on historical snapshots of the CGM for different market time units. The realized (observed) power flows for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint are obtained from the snapshot, where also the potential contingencies associated with this CNEC and combined dynamic constraint are taken into account. The net positions from the snapshot are used with the FB parameters or in the CGM to compute the expected power flows. The differences between the realized and expected power flows (in MW) form the prediction error distribution for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint. The prediction errors shall be fitted to a statistical distribution that minimizes the modelling error.
  - (c) The reliability margin value shall be calculated by deriving a value from the probability distribution based on the TSOs risk level value as defined in paragraph 5.
  - (d) The unintended deviations of the physical electricity flows within a market time unit, caused by the adjustment of electricity flows within and between control areas, to maintain a constant frequency (frequency containment reserve), are not part of the reliability margin described in paragraphs 1(a) – 1(c) and need to be assessed separately (hereafter referred to as “FCR margin”). The final RM value is the sum of the RM value and the FCR margin; the TSO shall send this RM values as input data to the CCC.
2. The principles for calculating the probability distribution of the deviations between the expected power flows at the time of the capacity calculation and realized power flows in real time are as follows:
  - (a) The methodology for RM determination described in paragraphs 1(a) – 1(c) is applied on all CNECs and combined dynamic constraints; and
  - (b) Separate distributions are formed for capacities that are calculated based on CGMs for day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation timeframes.
3. The uncertainties covered by the RM values, described in the paragraph 1 originate from various elements, such as:
  - (a) Uncertainty in load forecast;
  - (b) Uncertainty in generation forecasts (generation dispatch, wind prognosis, etc.);

- (c) Assumptions inherent in the generation shift key (hereafter referred to as “GSK”) strategy;
  - (d) Uncertainty in external trades to adjacent synchronous areas;
  - (e) Application of a linear grid model (with the power transfer distribution factors (hereafter referred to as the “PTDFs”)), constant voltage profile and reactive power;
  - (f) Topology changes due to e.g. unplanned outages of network elements;
  - (g) Internal trade in each bidding zone; and
  - (h) Grid model errors, assumptions and simplifications.
4. The margin caused by the activation of the frequency containment reserve (hereafter referred to as “FCR”) shall be modelled separately and added to the RM, pursuant to paragraph 1(d). The following approach shall be applied:
    - (a) The FCR power flow impact shall be computed for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint based on historical information, forming FCR power flow distributions; and
    - (b) The FCR margin value for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint shall be calculated by deriving a value from the probability distribution based on the TSOs risk level value as defined in paragraph 5.
  5. The TSOs shall take into account the operational security limits, the power system uncertainties and the available RAs when determining the risk level for their CNECs and combined dynamic constraints to ensure the system security and efficient system operation. This risk level shall determine how the RM value and FCR margin value shall be derived from their probability distributions. The risk level is defined as the area (cumulative probability) right of the RM value and FCR margin value in their probability distribution. The TSOs shall use the predefined risk level of 95%.
  6. The TSOs shall store the differences between the realized and expected flows in a database that allows the TSOs to make statistical analyses.
  7. The probability distributions, RM values, and FCR margin values, shall be stored in a standardized data format for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint. The RM value shall be defined and stored as an absolute value (in MW). It may be converted for comparison purposes to a percentage of the CNEC’s or combined dynamic constraint’s maximum flow (hereinafter referred to as “F<sub>max</sub>”).
  8. The TSOs shall perform the calculation of the RM regularly and at least once a year applying the latest information, for the same period of analysis for the RM and FCR margins, on the probability distribution of the deviations between expected power flows at the time of capacity calculation and realized power flows in real time.

#### **Article 4**

##### **Methodology for determining operational security limits**

1. Each Nordic TSO shall provide to the CCC for each CNEC, day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation time frame and each scenario the operational security limits, which are needed by the CCC to calculate the maximum flow on CNECs in accordance with Article 29(7)(c) of the CACM Regulation. For each of the operational security limit defined pursuant to paragraph 2, the concerned TSO shall specify the CNEC(s) to which these limits should be applied and translated into maximum flow on CNECs.
2. Each TSO shall apply the same operational security limits as in the operational security analysis. These limits shall be defined in accordance with Article 25 of the SO Regulation. The TSOs shall

provide these operational security limits to the CCC in the following format describing a specific power system physical property:

- (a) thermal limits shall be expressed in maximum admissible current ( $I_{max}$ ) with the unit of Ampere;
  - (b) voltage limits shall be expressed in nominal voltage (per unit);
  - (c) frequency limits shall be expressed in Hertz; and
  - (d) dynamic stability limits shall be expressed in (i) per unit for voltage stability and (ii) damping for electromechanical oscillations.
3. The maximum admissible current representing thermal limit according to paragraph 2(a) shall be defined as follows:
- (a) the maximum admissible current representing thermal limits shall be defined as fixed limit for each scenario representing the ambient conditions of this scenario.
  - (b) when applicable, the maximum admissible current representing thermal limits shall be defined as a temporary current limit of the CNE in accordance with Article 25 of the SO Regulation. A temporary current limit means that an overload is only allowed for a certain finite duration. As a result, various CNECs associated with the same CNE may have different  $I_{max}$  values.
  - (c) the maximum admissible current representing thermal limits shall represent only real physical properties of the CNE and shall not be reduced by any security margin.
4. TSOs shall regularly review and update operational security limits in accordance with Article 24.

## **Article 5**

### **Methodology for determining critical network elements and contingencies relevant to capacity calculation**

1. Each Nordic TSO shall define a list of CNEs, which are fully or partly located in its own control area, and which can be, *inter alia*, overhead lines, underground cables and transformers. All cross-zonal network elements shall be defined as CNEs, whereas only those internal network elements, which are defined pursuant to paragraphs 5 to 7 shall be defined as CNEs. Until 30 days after the approval of the proposal pursuant to paragraph 5, all internal network elements may be defined as CNEs.
2. Each Nordic TSO shall define a list of proposed contingencies used in operational security analysis in accordance with Article 33 of the SO Regulation, limited to their relevance for the set of CNEs as defined in paragraph 1 and pursuant to Article 23(2) of the CACM Regulation. The contingencies of a Nordic TSO shall be located within the observability area (as defined in Article 3(2)(48) of the SO Regulation) of that Nordic TSO. This list shall be updated at least on a yearly basis and in case of topology changes in the grid of the Nordic TSO, pursuant to Article 24. A contingency can be, *inter alia*, an unplanned outage of:
  - (a) a line, a cable, or a transformer;
  - (b) a busbar;
  - (c) a generating unit;
  - (d) a load; or
  - (e) a set of the such network elements.

3. Each Nordic TSO shall establish a list of CNEs associated with a contingency (CNECs) by associating the contingencies established pursuant to paragraph 2 with the CNEs established pursuant to paragraph 1 following the rules established in accordance with Article 75 of the SO Regulation. Until such rules are established and enter into force, the association of contingencies to CNEs shall be based on each TSO's operational experience. An individual CNEC may also be established without a contingency.
4. Each TSO shall provide to the CCC for day-ahead and intraday time frame and each scenario a list of CNECs established pursuant to paragraph 3.
5. No later than eighteen months after the implementation of this methodology in accordance with Article 26(2), all TSOs shall jointly develop a proposal for amendment of this methodology in accordance with Article 9(13) of the CACM Regulation, which shall improve this methodology by including a method for assessing the economic efficiency of increasing margin on internal network elements (combined with the relevant contingencies) in the day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation. This proposal shall be submitted by the same deadline to Nordic regulatory authorities for approval.
6. The methodology referred to in paragraph 5 shall define a process by which TSOs regularly, at least annually, analyse and identify internal network elements on which congestions are most efficiently addressed with day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation, taking into account other alternative measures for managing congestions on internal network elements, such as:
  - (a) application of RA;
  - (b) reconfiguration of bidding zones;
  - (c) investments in network infrastructure combined with one or the two above; or
  - (d) any combination of (a), (b) and (c).
7. The methodology referred to in paragraphs 5 and 6 shall also ensure that TSOs take into account different timescales needed to implement alternative solutions such that including internal network elements in capacity calculation is allowed only until the alternative solution(s), which are identified as more efficient, can be implemented.
8. The TSOs shall regularly review and update the application of the methodology for determining CNECs as defined in Article 24.

## **Article 6**

### **Methodology for allocation constraints**

1. In case operational security limits cannot be transformed efficiently into maximum flow on specific CNECs pursuant to Article 4, the TSOs may transform them into allocation constraints and provide them to the CCC to be used in the day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation. For this purpose, the TSOs may use the combined dynamic constraint, which limits the sum of power flows on a set of network elements, for the purpose to respect the dynamic stability limits. These TSOs shall provide to the CCC the  $F_{\max}$  for each defined combined dynamic constraint and the information on which network elements are combined into such combined dynamic constraint.
2. Allocation constraints pursuant to paragraph 1 may be used during a transition period of two years following the implementation of this methodology in accordance with Article 26(2). During this transition period, the concerned TSOs shall calculate the value of each combined dynamic constraint by performing a dynamic stability analysis in accordance with Article 38 of the SO Regulation at least on an annual basis and updated on a monthly basis, if relevant. The concerned TSOs shall publish the results and the underlying analysis.

3. In case the concerned TSOs cannot find and implement a more efficient solution than the applied combined dynamic constraint, they may, by eighteen months after the implementation of this methodology in accordance with Article 26(2), together with all other TSOs, submit to the Nordic regulatory authorities a proposal for amendment of this methodology in accordance with Article 9(13) of CACM Regulation. Such a proposal shall include the following:
  - (a) the technical and legal justification for the need to continue using the combined dynamic constraint indicating the underlying operational security limits and why they cannot be transformed efficiently into maximum flow on specific CNECs; and
  - (b) a detailed methodology to calculate the values of the combined dynamic constraints.

In case such a proposal has been submitted by all TSOs, the transition period referred to in paragraph 2 shall be extended until the decision on the proposal is taken by the Nordic regulatory authorities.

4. TSOs applying allocation constraints shall regularly review and update the application of allocation constraints in accordance with Article 24.
5. In addition, TSOs may apply other allocation constraints in day-ahead and intraday timeframe in accordance with Article 23(3) of the CACM Regulation. The relevant TSOs shall provide these allocation constraints to the CCC. The TSOs may apply either of the following allocation constraints:
  - (a) Ramping rates: Ramping rates define the maximum flow changes on HVDC interconnections between market time units. Due to imbalances generated by flows on HVDC interconnections between market time units, ramping rates are needed in order to maintain the stability of the power system. Ramping rates ensure that the maximum flow change on HVDC interconnections between market time units is kept within the available balancing power reserves or within the technical limits of HVDC interconnections.
  - (b) Implicit loss factors: The implicit loss factors on HVDC interconnections account for the power loss on HVDC interconnections by the following equation:

$$\text{Export quantity} = (1 - \text{"Loss Factor"}) * \text{Import quantity}$$

*Equation 1*

The implicit loss factor is a correction mechanism for a negative external effect incentivising the market to respect the cost of electricity losses on HVDC interconnections in the market coupling. The implicit loss factor may be applied on an HVDC interconnection if an EU-wide welfare economic benefit can be demonstrated to the NRAs.

6. Each TSO applying the allocation constraints according to paragraph 5 shall communicate and justify application of those constraints to the market participants.

**Article 7**  
**Methodology for determining generation shift keys (GSKs)**

1. Each Nordic TSO shall provide to the CCC for each of the bidding zone under its responsibility, day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation time frame and each scenario, the GSK to be used in the day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation.
2. GSKs shall define how a net position change in a given bidding zone shall be distributed to each production and load unit on that bidding zone in the CGM. These GSKs shall represent the best forecast of the relation of a change in the net position of a bidding zone to a specific change of

generation or load in the CGM for each scenario. The forecast shall take into account the information received in accordance with Article 10 and Article 12 of the generation and load data provision methodology developed by all TSOs in accordance with Article 16 of the CACM Regulation.

3. Each TSO shall apply for a given bidding zone and the given scenario one of the GSK strategies listed below:

Strategy number	Generation	Load	Description/comment
0	$k_g$	$k_l$	Custom GSK strategy with individual set of GSK factors for each generator unit and load for each market time unit for a TSO
1	$\max\{P_g - P_{min}, 0\}$	0	Generators participate relative to their margin to the generation minimum (MW) for the unit
2	$\max\{P_{max} - P_g, 0\}$	0	Generators participate relative to their margin to the installed capacity (MW) for the unit
3	$P_{max}$	0	Generators participate relative to their maximum (installed) capacity (MW)
4	1.0	0	Equal GSK factors for all generators, independently of the size of the generator unit
5	$P_g$	0	Generators participate relative to their current power generation (MW)
6	$P_g$	$P_l$	Generators and loads participate relative to their current expected power generation or loading power (MW)
7	0	$P_l$	Loads participate relative to their expected loading power (MW)
8	0	1.0	Equal GSK factors for all loads, independently of their expected size of loading power

where

$k_g$  : GSK factor [pu] for generator g

$k_l$  : GSK factor [pu] for load l

$P_g$  : Active power generation [MW] for generator g contained in CGM

$P_{min}$  : Minimum active generator output [MW] for generator g

$P_{max}$  : Maximum active generator output [MW] for generator g

$P_l$  : Active power load [MW] for load l contained in CGM

4. Within eighteen months after the implementation of this methodology in accordance with Article 26(2), all TSOs shall develop a proposal for amendment of this methodology in accordance with Article 9(13) of the CACM Regulation, which shall further harmonise the generation shift key methodology. This proposal shall be submitted by the same deadline to the Nordic regulatory authorities for approval. The proposal shall at least include:
- the criteria and metrics for defining the efficiency and performance of GSKs and allowing for quantitative comparison of different GSKs; and
  - a harmonised generation shift key methodology combined with, where necessary, rules and criteria for TSOs to deviate from the harmonised GSK methodology.
5. TSOs shall regularly review and update the application of the GSKs in accordance with Article 24.



## **Article 8**

### **Rules for avoiding undue discrimination between internal and cross-zonal exchanges**

1. The TSOs shall take actions to avoid undue discrimination between internal and cross-zonal exchanges in accordance with Article 16(8) of the Regulation (EU) 2019/943.
2. In a short-term perspective the TSOs shall apply RAs in accordance with Article 17 based on the assessment according to Article 9 and 10.
3. In a mid-term perspective, the TSOs shall review the existing bidding-zone configuration in accordance with Article 32 of the CACM Regulation. In this review, the TSOs shall study whether a reconfiguration of bidding zones would bring benefits in accordance with Article 33 of the CACM Regulation.
4. In a long-term perspective, the TSOs shall consider efficient investments.

## **Article 9**

### **Methodology for determining remedial actions (RAs) to be considered in capacity calculation**

1. Each TSO shall define explicit RAs to be taken into account in capacity calculation and provide them to CCC for each day-ahead and intraday market time unit. The relevant RAs shall be coordinated between TSOs, clearly described, and communicated to other TSOs.
2. The RAs referred to in paragraph 1 shall be used for increasing the day-ahead and intraday cross-zonal capacities while ensuring operational security.
3. When defining RAs pursuant to paragraph 1, each TSO shall take into account available non-costly RAs. Costly RAs shall be applied for internal CNECs, if foreseen to be available for both day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation timeframes and if they contribute to an increased economic welfare, in accordance with Article 10.
4. TSOs shall apply any of, or a combination of, the following RAs:
  - (a) System protection schemes, being an automatic tripping of generation, consumption or grid elements in case of fault;
  - (b) Topology changes, being any changes in grid topology in order to minimise the effect of faults;
  - (c) Redispatching; and
  - (d) Countertrading.
5. Each TSO shall quantify and list the RAs foreseen to be available in its own control area and to apply them in the capacity calculation. The availability of costly and non-costly RAs shall be assessed on daily basis and communicated to the CCC, taking into account:
  - a) outage information
  - b) constraints on generation and consumption flexibility
6. When TSO(s) is unable to define explicitly the RAs to be taken into account in capacity calculation due to uncertainty of their actual availability in real-time, but is able to evaluate the approximate adjustment of flows on critical network elements or combined dynamic constraints due to RAs by taking into account the statistics and probability of the availability of RAs, it may provide to the CCC the minimum  $F_{RA}$  that needs to be respected when calculating the  $F_{RA}$  in accordance with

Article 15. When determining this minimum  $F_{RA}$ , TSOs may also take into account other (costly or non-costly) RAs.

7. The TSOs shall regularly review and update the application of RAs taken into account in the capacity calculation in accordance with Article 24.

### **Article 10**

#### **Impact of remedial actions (RAs) on critical network elements**

1. Internal CNECs and internal combined dynamic constraints provided to the capacity calculation and allocation by TSOs in accordance with Article 5, shall be justified based on operational security, employing an assessment of availability of RAs, and economic efficiency in accordance with the methodology to be developed according to Article 5(5).
2. If RAs are not available or when their availability cannot be expected, according to Article 9, the RAM on the CNECs and combined dynamic constraints calculated in accordance with Article 17, shall not increase.
3. For non-costly RAs to be expected to be available, the extent to which these relieve the flows on the CNECs and combined dynamic constraints, shall be added to the RAM in accordance with Article 17.
4. For costly RAs to be expected to be available, the extent to which these relieve the flows on the internal CNECs and internal combined dynamic constraints shall be added to the RAM in accordance with Article 17 only if economic efficiency of applying the costly RA can be demonstrated. TSOs shall define the rules for economic efficiency within the methodology to be developed in accordance with Article 5(5).
5. The impact of RAs shall be taken into account in individual TSOs' list of CNECs and combined dynamic constraints in accordance with Article 5.
6. The TSOs shall regularly review and update the impact of RAs on CNECs and combined dynamic constraints as defined in Article 24.

### **Article 11**

#### **Previously allocated cross-zonal capacities**

Each TSO shall provide to the CCC for each Nordic bidding zone border and for day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation time frame the previously allocated cross-zonal capacities.

## **TITLE 3**

### **Description of the capacity calculation process for day-ahead and intraday timeframe**

#### **Article 12**

##### **Description of the applied capacity calculation approach with different capacity calculation inputs**

1. The capacity calculation process for the day-ahead and intraday timeframe shall use the FB process.

The capacity calculation process for the day-ahead and intraday timeframe and for each market time unit within these timeframes is as follows:

- (a) Each TSO shall create an IGM for its bidding zone(s) and send it to the merging agent for merging IGMs to build the CGM in accordance with Article 17 of the CACM Regulation. The IGM shall include dynamic data for the CCC to facilitate dynamic stability analysis in capacity calculation in accordance with Table 1 of Article 26;
- (b) The merging agent shall send the CGM to the CCC for calculation of  $F_{\max}$ ;
- (c) Each TSO shall send GSK strategies as defined in Article 7 to the CCC for calculation of  $F_{\max}$ ;
- (d) Each TSO shall send contingencies for its bidding zone(s) determined in accordance with Article 5 to the CCC for calculation of  $F_{\max}$ ;
- (e) Each TSO shall send operational security limits for its bidding zone(s) determined in accordance with Article 4 to the CCC for calculation of  $F_{\max}$ . A TSO may transform operational security limits for dynamic stability into allocation constraints and send these as combined dynamic constraints defined in accordance with Article 6(1) to the CCC for calculation of  $F_{\max}$ ;
- (f) Each TSO shall send CNECs for its bidding zone(s) determined in accordance with Article 5 to the CCC to be considered in capacity calculation;
- (g) The CCC shall calculate  $F_{\max}$  for each CNEC in accordance with Article 17 applying the CGM, GSKs, contingencies, operational security limits, combined dynamic constraints, and CNECs submitted by each TSO;
- (h) Each TSO shall send RM for each CNEC determined in accordance with Article 3 to the CCC for calculation of RAMs;
- (i) Each TSO shall send AAC for each CNEC determined in accordance with Article 16 to the CCC for calculation of RAMs;
- (j) Each TSO shall send RA for each CNEC determined in accordance with Article 9 and Article 10 to the CCC for calculation of RAMs;
- (k) The CCC shall calculate RAM for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint determined in accordance with Article 17 and PTDFs in accordance with Article 13 taking into account rules for sharing the power flow capabilities of CNECs among different CCRs in accordance with Article 18;
- (l) The CCC shall send FB parameters calculated in accordance with Article 13 and Article 17 to each TSO for validation in accordance with Article 19;
- (m) Each TSO shall send validated FB parameters, including adjustments to FB parameters, to the CCC;
- (n) Each TSO shall send allocation constraints determined in accordance with Article 6(5) to the CCC;
- (o) The CCC shall send the validated FB parameters and allocation constraints to relevant NEMOs for the purpose of allocating cross-zonal capacity by MCO in accordance with the CACM Regulation;
- (p) Relevant NEMOs shall publish validated FB parameters and allocation constraints to the market in accordance with Article 46(1) of the CACM Regulation; and
- (q) The CCC shall publish validated FB parameters, allocation constraints and other information requested in accordance with Article 25.

2. The capacity calculation process shall be performed by the CCC and shall provide the following capacity calculation results to be validated by each TSO:
  - (a) Calculation of the PTDF matrix, where each factor in the matrix,  $PTDF_j^A$ , represents the percentage of 1 MW injected in bidding zone A, and extracted from a defined slack node, that will appear on the CNEC or combined dynamic constraint j in accordance with Article 13; and
  - (b) Calculation of the RAM for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint, which shall be the amount of transmission capacity available for capacity validation and determined in accordance with Article 17.
3. The PTDF matrix and RAM vector shall form FB parameters describing the available transmission capacity between relevant bidding zones to be validated by capacity validation in accordance with Article 19.

### Article 13

#### Description of the calculation of power transfer distribution factors

1. As a first step in the day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation process, the CCC shall merge the individual lists of CNECs provided by all TSOs in accordance with Article 5(4) into a single list, which shall constitute the initial list of CNECs.
2. In accordance with Article 29(3)(a) of the CACM Regulation, the CCC shall calculate the impact of a change in the bidding zones net position on the power flow on each CNEC of the initial list of CNECs and on each combined dynamic constraint. This influence is called the zone-to-slack *PTDF* (i.e.  $PTDF_{z2s}$ ). This calculation is performed by applying the CGM and the GSKs defined in accordance with Article 7.
3. The zone-to-slack *PTDFs* are calculated by first calculating the node-to-slack *PTDFs* (i.e.  $PTDF_{n2s}$ ) for each node defined in the GSKs. These node-to-slack *PTDFs* are derived by varying the injection of a relevant node in the CGM and recording the difference in power flow on every CNEC (expressed as a percentage of the change in injection) or on combination of network elements in case of combined dynamic constraint. These node-to-slack *PTDFs* are translated into zone-to-slack *PTDFs* by multiplying the share of each node in the GSK with the corresponding node-to-slack *PTDFs* and summing up these products per bidding zone. This calculation is mathematically described as follows:

$$PTDF_{z2s} = PTDF_{n2s} GSK_{n2z}$$

*Equation 2*

with

<b><math>PTDF_{z2s}</math></b>	matrix of zone-to-slack <i>PTDFs</i> (columns: bidding zones; rows: CNECs and combined dynamic constraints)
<b><math>PTDF_{n2s}</math></b>	matrix of node-to-slack <i>PTDFs</i> (columns: nodes; rows: CNECs and combined dynamic constraints)
<b><math>GSK_{n2z}</math></b>	GSK in a form of matrix containing the shares of each node in the net positions of the corresponding bidding zones (columns: bidding zones; rows: nodes; sum of each column equal to one)

4. The impact of HVDC network elements on the bidding zone borders within the Nordic CCR shall be taken into account by defining the connecting nodes of such HVDC network element as separate virtual bidding zones. The zone-to-slack PTDFs calculated for these virtual bidding zones are equal to node-to-slack *PTDFs* for the connecting nodes of the HVDC network element, whereas these nodes are not included in the summing up of products for real bidding zones as referred to in paragraph 3.
5. The zone-to-slack *PTDFs* as calculated above can also be expressed as zone-to-zone *PTDFs* (i.e.  $PTDF_{zz}$ ). A zone-to-slack  $PTDF_{A,l}$  represents the influence of a variation of a net position of bidding zone A on a CNEC  $l$  and assumes a commercial exchange between a bidding zone and a slack node. A zone-to-zone  $PTDF_{A \rightarrow B,l}$  represents the influence of a variation of a commercial exchange from bidding zone A to bidding zone B on CNEC  $l$ . The zone-to-zone  $PTDF_{A \rightarrow B,l}$  can be derived from the zone-to-slack *PTDFs* as follows:

$$PTDF_{A \rightarrow B,l} = PTDF_{A,l} - PTDF_{B,l}$$

*Equation 3*

6. The cross-zonal exchange over HVDC network elements on the bidding zone borders of the Nordic CCR is modelled as a bilateral exchange in capacity allocation, and is constrained by the physical impact that this exchange has on all CNECs considered in the final FB domain used in capacity allocation.
7. The maximum zone-to-zone *PTDF* of a CNEC (i.e.  $PTDF_{zzmax,l}$ ) is the maximum influence that any cross-zonal exchange in the Nordic CCR has on the respective CNEC, including exchanges over HVDC network elements:

$$PTDF_{zzmax,l} = \max_{A \in BZ}(PTDF_{A,l}) - \min_{A \in BZ}(PTDF_{A,l})$$

*Equation 4*

with

$PTDF_{A,l}$	zone-to-slack <i>PTDF</i> of bidding zone A on a CNEC $l$
$BZ$	set of all Nordic bidding zones (including virtual bidding zones)
$\max_{A \in BZ}(PTDF_{A,l})$	maximum zone-to-slack <i>PTDF</i> of Nordic bidding zones on a CNEC $l$
$\min_{A \in BZ}(PTDF_{A,l})$	minimum zone-to-slack <i>PTDF</i> of Nordic bidding zones on a CNEC $l$

## Article 14

### Definition of the final list of CNECs for day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation

After the calculation of maximum zone-to-zone *PTDFs* calculated in accordance with Article 13(7), the CCC shall remove from the initial list of CNECs at least those CNECs for which the maximum zone-to-zone *PTDF* is not higher than 5%. The remaining CNECs shall constitute the final list of CNECs.

## Article 15

### Rules on the adjustment of power flows on critical network elements due to RAs

1. The RAs taken into account in capacity calculation aim to increase RAM in accordance to Article 17. These RAs are not interdependent in the sense that they would increase cross-zonal capacity on some CNECs or combined dynamic constraints and decrease it on others. For these reasons, all RAs provided by the TSOs in accordance to Article 9 shall be applied and no optimisation of RAs is necessary.
2. As the outcome of the application of RA, the CCC shall calculate for each CNEC of the final list of CNECs and each combined dynamic constraint the increase of flow on such CNEC or combined dynamic constraint due to the application of RA. This flow for increasing the RAM on each CNEC shall be expressed as  $F_{RA}$ . In case TSO(s) provided to CCC a minimum  $F_{RA}$  pursuant to Article 9(6), the CCC shall adjust the calculated  $F_{RA}$  such that it is not lower than the minimum value provided by the TSO(s).

## Article 16

### Rules for taking into account previously allocated cross-zonal capacity

1. The TSOs shall take into account the previously allocated capacity as follows:
  - (a) For day-ahead and intraday timeframe, capacity allocated for nominated Physical Transmission Rights (PTRs);
  - (b) For day-ahead and intraday timeframe, capacity allocated for cross-zonal exchange of balancing services, except those balancing services in accordance with Article 22(2)(a) of the CACM Regulation; and
  - (c) For intraday timeframe, capacity allocated for day-ahead timeframe.
2. The CCC shall take into account the previously allocated cross-zonal capacities such that the calculation of the RAM takes into account the flows resulting from previously allocated cross-zonal capacities in accordance with Article 29(7)(c) of the CACM Regulation.
3. Previously allocated cross-zonal capacities, applied for balancing capacity purposes, in accordance with Article 29(7)(c) of the CACM Regulation shall be calculated for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint by multiplying the volumes of previously allocated cross-zonal capacities with the positive zone-to-zone *PTDFs*, i.e:

$$F_{AAC} = \max(0, PTDF_{zz}) \cdot \overrightarrow{AAC}$$

Equation 5

with

$F_{AAC}$  flows resulting from previously allocated cross-zonal capacities for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint

$PTDF_{zz}$  zone-to-zone *PTDFs* calculated in accordance with Article 13(5)

$\overrightarrow{AAC}$  previously allocated cross-zonal capacities

4. The flows resulting from nominated previously allocated cross-zonal capacities for long-term timeframes, in accordance with Article 29(7)(c) of the CACM Regulation shall be calculated for

each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint by multiplying the volumes of previously allocated cross-zonal capacities with the zone-to-zone *PTDFs*, i.e:

$$F_{AAC} = PTDF_{z2z} \cdot \overrightarrow{AAC}$$

Equation 6

with

$F_{AAC}$  flows resulting from previously allocated cross-zonal capacities for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint

$PTDF_{z2z}$  zone-to-zone *PTDFs* calculated in accordance with Article 13(5)

$\overrightarrow{AAC}$  previously allocated cross-zonal capacities

5. For the intraday timeframe, the flows resulting from nominated cross-zonal capacities for the previous timeframes, in accordance with Article 29(7)(c) of the CACM Regulation shall be calculated for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint by multiplying the net positions of previously allocated cross-zonal capacities with the zone-to-slack *PTDFs*, i.e:

$$F_{AAC} = PTDF_{z2s} \cdot \overrightarrow{NP_{AAC}}$$

Equation 7

with

$F_{AAC}$  flows resulting from previously allocated cross-zonal capacities for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint

$PTDF_{z2s}$  zone-to-slack *PTDFs* calculated in accordance with Article 13(3)

$\overrightarrow{NP_{AAC}}$  Net positions of previously allocated cross-zonal capacities

## Article 17

### Description of the calculation of available margins on critical network elements before validation

1. The CCC shall use voltage limits, frequency limits and dynamic stability limits provided by TSOs to calculate for each relevant CNEC the respective  $I_{max}$  representing these limits. Subsequently, the CCC shall calculate the final  $I_{max}$  for each CNEC which shall be the lowest of all values of  $I_{max}$  calculated by the CCC or provided by TSOs for each specific CNEC.
2. The CCC shall use the final  $I_{max}$  of each CNEC calculated pursuant to paragraph 1 to calculate  $F_{max}$  for each CNEC, which describes the maximum admissible active power flow on a CNEC.  $F_{max}$  of a CNEC shall be calculated by the given formula:

$$F_{max} = \sqrt{3} \cdot I_{max} \cdot U \cdot \cos(\varphi)$$

Equation 8

with

$F_{max}$	maximum admissible flow of a CNE
$I_{max}$	maximum admissible current of a CNE
$U$	voltage for a CNE as defined in paragraph 3
$\cos(\varphi)$	power factor as defined in paragraph 3

3. The voltage  $U$  referred to in paragraph 2 shall be the average voltage on two connecting nodes of a CNE included in a CNEC resulting from the load-flow calculation on the CGM and shall not be lower than the 95% of the reference voltage of that CNE. The power factor  $\cos(\varphi)$  referred to in paragraph 2 shall be the average power factor on two connecting nodes of a CNE included in a CNEC resulting from the load-flow calculation on the CGM and shall not be lower than 0.95.
4. The CCC shall calculate the reference flow ( $F_{ref}$ ) for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint, which is the active power flow on a CNEC or combined dynamic constraint calculated with the CGM. In case of a CNEC or combined dynamic constraint without contingency,  $F_{ref}$  is simulated by directly performing the load-flow calculation on the CGM, whereas in case of a CNEC with contingency or combined dynamic constraint,  $F_{ref}$  of such CNEC or combined dynamic constraint is simulated by first applying the contingency of this CNEC or combined dynamic constraint, and then performing the load-flow calculation.
5. The CCC shall calculate for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint the linear approximation of a flow in a situation without any cross-zonal exchanges ( $F_0$ ) as follows:

$$\vec{F}_0 = \vec{F}_{ref} - \mathbf{PTDF} \cdot \overline{NP}_{ref}$$

Equation 9

with

$\vec{F}_0$	linear approximation of a flow in the reference net position on a CNEC or combined dynamic constraint in a situation without any cross-zonal exchanges
$\vec{F}_{ref}$	reference flows on all CNECs and combined dynamic constraints
$\mathbf{PTDF}$	matrix of power transfer distribution factors
$\overline{NP}_{ref}$	net position of bidding zone (including virtual bidding zones) in the reference commercial situation

The net positions ( $\overline{NP}_{ref}$ ) of virtual bidding zone include injections of the connecting nodes of the HVDC network elements, whereas the net positions of real bidding zones are excluding the injections of those connecting nodes.

6. Subsequently, the CCC shall calculate the RAM before validation for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint as follows:

$$\overline{RAM}_{bv} = \vec{F}_{max} + \vec{F}_{RA} - \vec{F}_{RM} - \vec{F}_0 - \vec{F}_{AAC}$$

Equation 10



with

$\overrightarrow{RAM}_{bv}$	remaining available margin before validation
$\vec{F}_{max}$	maximum flow on all CNECs and combined dynamic constraints
$\vec{F}_{RA}$	flow for increasing the RAM on a CNEC or combined dynamic constraints due to RAs taken into account in capacity calculation
$\vec{F}_{RM}$	flow for reliability margin for all CNECs and combined dynamic constraints
$\vec{F}_0$	linear approximation of a flow in the reference net position on a CNEC or combined dynamic constraint in a situation without any cross-zonal exchanges
$\vec{F}_{AAC}$	flows resulting from previously allocated cross-zonal capacities for all CNECs and combined dynamic constraints

7. When the RAM value calculated pursuant to paragraph 6 is negative it shall be set to zero for day-ahead timeframe and the potential congestion resulting from negative RAM shall be managed by the application of RAs, which may include other RAs than the ones defined pursuant to Article 9. For the intraday timeframe, the RAM value calculated pursuant to paragraph 6 shall be applied also in case the value is negative.

## Article 18

### Rules for sharing the power flow capabilities of CNECs among different CCRs

1. To take into account the impact of exchanges in neighbouring CCRs on the CNECs and combined dynamic constraints within the Nordic CCR, the CCC shall calculate the cross-zonal exchanges or cross-zonal capacities on the bidding zone borders of these neighbouring CCRs by performing all steps in day-ahead and intraday calculation by assuming that bidding zone borders of neighbouring CCRs are part of the Nordic CCR and thereby the impact of exchanges on bidding zone borders outside the Nordic CCR on the CNECs within the Nordic CCR shall be calculated as well.
2. The FB parameters calculated for bidding zone borders outside the Nordic CCR shall be part of the final FB parameters as referred to in Article 19(4).
3. The CCC shall submit FB parameters or the ATC values in case of the transitional solution pursuant to Article 20, calculated for bidding zone borders of neighbouring CCRs to the CCCs of these CCRs. The FB parameters or the ATC values may limit capacity allocation on the bidding zone borders of those CCRs if such limitations are allowed within the day-ahead and intraday CCM governing capacity calculation within those CCRs.

## TITLE 4

### Description of capacity validation for day-ahead and intraday timeframe

## Article 19

### Methodology for the validation of cross-zonal capacity

1. Each TSO shall perform the validation of cross-zonal capacities on its bidding zone border(s), defined by the FB parameters on its CNECs and combined dynamic constraints, to ensure that the results of regional calculation and allocation of cross-zonal capacity will ensure operational

security. When performing the validation, the TSOs shall consider operational security, taking into account new and relevant information obtained during or after the most recent capacity calculation.

2.  $RAM_{bv}$  calculated in accordance with Article 17(6) may be adjusted during the validation by applying individual validation adjustment (*IVA*) to take into account relevant information known at the time of validation in accordance with paragraph 1. *IVA* can be a positive value indicating reduction of cross-zonal capacities or negative value indicating increase of cross-zonal capacities.
3. The individual validation adjustment may be done in the following situations:
  - (a) an occurrence of an exceptional contingency or forced outage as defined in Article 3(39) and Article 3(77) of the SO Regulation;
  - (b) a mistake in input data, that leads to a wrong estimation of cross-zonal capacity from an operational security perspective; and/or
  - (c) when TSO(s) is unable to define exact RAs to be taken into account in capacity calculation due to uncertainty of their actual availability in real-time, but is able to evaluate the approximate adjustment of flows on CNECs or combined dynamic constraints due to RAs by taking into account the statistics and probability of the availability of RAs.
4. The final FB parameters available for capacity allocation shall be the PTDF calculated pursuant to Article 13 and the RAM calculated as follows:

$$\overrightarrow{RAM} = \overrightarrow{RAM}_{bv} - \overrightarrow{IVA}$$

*Equation 11*

with

$\overrightarrow{RAM}$	final remaining available margin
$\overrightarrow{RAM}_{bv}$	remaining available margin before validation
$\overrightarrow{IVA}$	individual validation adjustment

Each application of *IVA* needs to be justified by the TSOs applying it, by reporting on the need to apply *IVA*, and the rationale behind the value of *IVA*, towards the CCC and other TSOs.

5. Each CCC shall report all reductions made during the validation of cross-zonal capacity to all Nordic regulatory authorities in accordance with Article 26(5) of the CACM Regulation. This report shall include the location and amount of any reduction of cross-zonal capacities and shall give reasons for the reductions.
6. The CCC shall coordinate with the neighbouring CCCs during the validation.

**TITLE 5**  
**Miscellaneous**

**Article 20**

**Transitional solution for calculation and allocation of intraday cross-zonal capacities  
for continuous trading in the Intraday timeframe**

1. Until the single intraday coupling in accordance with Article 51 of the CACM Regulation is able to support the allocation of cross-zonal capacities based on FB parameters, the CCC shall transform the final FB parameters as referred to in Article 19 into available transmission capacity ('ATC') values on bidding zone borders of the Nordic CCR and bidding zone borders of neighbouring CCRs if the latter are included in capacity calculation pursuant to Article 18. For each market time unit, one set of ATC values shall be calculated.
2. The available transfer capacity  $ATC^n$  (where  $ATC^n \in ATC$ , and  $ATC$  is a vector of maximum allowed power exchange on all bidding zone borders) shall be calculated as:

$$\text{Maximize } f(\overrightarrow{ATC})$$

Subject to

$$g_j \left( \sum_n ATC^n * PTDF_j^n \right) \leq h_j(RAM_j) \quad \forall j \in \{All\ CNEs\ and\ allocation\ constraints\}$$

*Equation 12*

with

$f$	a function defining the weight for each border in the optimisation
$g_j$	a function defining the weight of each trade in the total flow on CNE $j$
$h_j$	a function defining the scaling of CNEs in non-relevant market directions
$ATC^n$	maximum available power exchange on bidding zone border $n$
$\overrightarrow{ATC}$	a vector of maximum available power exchanges for all borders
$PTDF_j^n$	zone-to-zone PTDF for bidding zone border $n$

3. Two months before the application of this transitional solution, the Nordic TSOs shall publish the exact values and parameters of the functions  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $h$ , including their description, purpose and effect. During the development process of the functions  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $h$ , Nordic regulatory authorities and stakeholders will be informed, and they may provide comments duly to be taken into account in development work.
4. No later than eighteen months after the implementation of this methodology in accordance with Article 26(2), all TSOs shall jointly develop a proposal for amendment of this methodology in accordance with Article 9(13) of the CACM Regulation, which shall improve this methodology by including the description and definition of the functions referred to in paragraph 3. This proposal shall be submitted by the same deadline to all Nordic regulatory authorities for approval.

5. Any update to the transitional solution, affecting the results of the calculation pursuant to paragraph 2, shall be done in accordance to Article 20(3) and 20(4).

## **Article 21**

### **Reassessment frequency of cross-zonal capacity for the intraday timeframe**

1. First assessment of intraday cross-zonal capacity shall be done based on CGMs for day-ahead capacity calculation timeframe and the results of the single day-ahead coupling. The cross-zonal capacity shall be released to the intraday market without undue delay. The frequency of the reassessment of intraday cross-zonal capacity shall be dependent on the availability of input data relevant for capacity calculation and execution of intraday auctions, as well as any events impacting the cross-zonal capacity.
2. Reassessment of intraday cross-zonal capacity shall be done at the frequency the CGM for the intraday timeframe is made available in accordance with the CGM methodology developed in line with Article 17 of the CACM Regulation, for each intraday auction pursuant to methodology developed in accordance with Article 55(3) of the CACM Regulation and in case of a fault in the power system. The latest available CGM is applied in the reassessment of cross-zonal capacities. Any change in cross-zonal capacity due to a reassessment shall be released to the intraday market without undue delay.

## **Article 22**

### **Fallback procedure if the initial capacity calculation does not lead to any results**

1. When day-ahead or intraday capacity calculation fails to provide the FB parameters for two or less consecutive market time units, the CCC shall calculate the missing FB parameters as being the minimum of the FB parameters, which have been successfully calculated for adjoining market time units.
2. When day-ahead or intraday capacity calculation fails to provide the FB parameters for three or more consecutive hours, the CCC shall apply the default FB parameters. These default FB parameters shall be based on latest calculated FB parameters for the same market time unit and market time frame taken from daily, weekly, monthly or yearly capacity calculation.

## **Article 23**

### **Monitoring data to the Nordic regulatory authorities**

1. All technical and statistical information related to this CCM shall be made available upon request to the Nordic regulatory authorities.
2. Monitoring data shall be provided to the Nordic regulatory authorities as a basis for supervising a non-discriminatory and efficient capacity calculation in Nordic CCR.
3. Any data requirements mentioned above should be managed in line with confidentiality requirements pursuant to national legislation.

## **Article 24**

### **Reviews and updates**

1. Based on Article 3(f) of the CACM Regulation and in accordance with Article 27(4) of the CACM Regulation, all TSOs shall regularly and at least once a year review and update the key input and output parameters listed in Article 27(4)(a) to (d) of the CACM Regulation.

2. If any of the day-ahead and intraday calculation inputs pursuant to Articles 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 9 need to be updated based on this review, the TSOs shall publish the changes at least 1 month before their implementation.
3. Any changes of parameters listed in Article 27(4) of the CACM Regulation shall be communicated to market participants, all Nordic regulatory authorities and the Agency.
4. The TSOs shall communicate the impact of any change of parameters listed in Article 27(4)(d) of the CACM Regulation to market participants, all Nordic regulatory authorities and the Agency. If any change leads to an adaptation of the methodology, the TSOs shall make a proposal for amendment of this methodology according to Article 9(13) of the CACM Regulation.

## **Article 25**

### **Publication of data**

1. In accordance with Article 3(f) of the CACM Regulation aiming at ensuring and enhancing the transparency and reliability of information to all Nordic regulatory authorities and market participants, all TSOs and the CCC shall regularly publish the data on the day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation process pursuant to this methodology as set forth in paragraph 2 on a dedicated online communication platform where capacity calculation data for the whole Nordic CCR shall be published. To enable market participants to have a clear understanding of the published data, all TSOs and the CCC shall develop a handbook and publish it on this communication platform. This handbook shall include at least a description of each data item, including its unit and underlying convention.
2. The TSOs shall publish at least the following data items (in addition to the data items and definitions of Commission Regulation (EU) No 543/2013 on submission and publication of data in electricity markets):
  - a) final FB parameters for each market time unit pursuant to Article 19(4);
  - b) in case of application of transitional solution pursuant to Article 20 for each market time unit the ATC values for all bidding zone borders in Nordic CCR calculated pursuant to Article 20;
  - c) the following additional information for market time unit:
    - i. maximum and minimum possible net position of each bidding zone;
    - ii. maximum possible bilateral exchanges on all Nordic bidding zone borders;
    - iii. names of CNECs (with geographical names of substations where relevant and separately for CNE and contingency) and combined dynamic constraints of the final FB parameters and the TSO defining them;
    - iv. for each CNEC of the final FB parameters, the EIC code of CNE and Contingency;
    - v. for each CNEC of the final FB parameters, the method for determining  $I_{max}$  in accordance with Article 4(3);
    - vi. detailed breakdown of *RAM* for each CNEC of the final FB parameters: final  $I_{max}$ ,  $U$ ,  $F_{max}$ ,  $F_{RA}$ ,  $F_{RM}$ ,  $F_{ref}$ ,  $F_0$ ,  $F_{AAC}$  and *IVA*;
    - vii. detailed breakdown of the *RAM* for each combined dynamic constraint:  $F_{max}$ ,  $F_{RA}$ ,  $F_{RM}$ ,  $F_{ref}$ ,  $F_0$ ,  $F_{AAC}$  and *IVA*;
    - viii. information about the individual validation reductions:

- the identification of the CNEC;
  - in case of reduction due to individual validation, the TSO invoking the reduction;
  - the volume of reduction (*IVA*);
  - the detailed reason(s) for reduction, including the operational security limit(s) that would have been violated without reductions, and under which circumstances they would have been violated;
- ix. for each RA taken into account in day-ahead and intraday calculation:
- type of RA;
  - location of RA;
  - whether the RA was curative or preventive;
  - if the RA was curative, a list of CNEC identifiers describing the CNECs to which the RA was associated;
  - the provided minimum  $F_{RA}$  pursuant Article 9(5) including the underlying statistics;
- x. the forecast information contained in the CGM:
- vertical load for each Nordic bidding zone and each TSO;
  - production for each Nordic bidding zone and each TSO;
  - for each Nordic bidding zone and each TSO;
  - reference net positions of all bidding zones in the synchronous area Nordic and reference exchanges for all HVDC network elements within the synchronous area Nordic and between the synchronous area Nordic and other synchronous areas.
3. Individual Nordic TSO may choose not to identify the CNEC concerned and specify its location when publishing the information referred to in paragraph 2(c) if it is classified as sensitive critical infrastructure protection related information in their Member States as provided for in point (d) of Article 2 of Council Directive 2008/114/EC of 8 December 2008 on the identification and designation of European critical infrastructures and the assessment of the need to improve their protection. In such a case, the withheld information shall be replaced with an anonymous identifier, which shall be stable for each CNEC across day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation time frames, as well as all long-term capacity calculation time frames. The anonymous identifier shall also be used in the other TSO communications related to the CNEC and when communicating about an outage or an investment in infrastructure. The information about which information has been withheld pursuant to this paragraph shall be published on the communication platform referred to in paragraph 1.
4. Any change in the identifiers shall be publicly notified at least one month before its publication.
5. If a TSO provides evidence to its regulatory authority that the provision of anonymised stable identifiers is not sufficient to prevent the identification of network elements, and is therefore not compliant with its national legislation, they can be exempted from the requirements of stable identifiers pursuant to paragraphs 3 and 4. The final list of the publication data will be provided before go-live.
6. The data shall be published as soon as available and no later than:

- (a) for day-ahead capacity calculation, one hour before single day-ahead coupling gate closure time, for each market time unit; and
  - (b) for intraday capacity calculation, one hour before the first single intraday allocation and then real-time, for each market time unit
7. The Nordic regulatory authorities may request additional information to be published by the TSOs. For this purpose, all Nordic regulatory authorities shall coordinate their requests among themselves and consult it with stakeholders. Each Nordic TSO may decide not to publish the additional information, which was not requested by its regulatory authority.

## **TITLE 6 Final Provisions**

### **Article 26 Publication and Implementation**

1. The TSOs shall publish the capacity calculation methodology of the Second Amendment without undue delay after all Nordic regulatory authorities have approved the Second Amendment or a decision has been taken by the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators in accordance with Article 9(10), Article 9(11) and 9(12) of the CACM Regulation.
2. The TSOs shall implement the capacity calculation methodology of the Second Amendment on all bidding zone borders within the Nordic CCR after the CGM methodology developed in accordance with Article 17 of the CACM Regulation, the market coupling operator function developed in accordance with Article 7(3) of the CACM Regulation, the relevant requirements set in algorithm submitted in accordance with Article 37(5), and the CCC in Nordic CCR has been set up in accordance with Article 27 of the CACM Regulation, are implemented in the Nordic CCR. The milestones and the criteria for implementing the Second Amendment are presented in Table 1. A milestone is reached after all criteria listed above in the table for that milestone are being met.
3. Parallel runs according to Article 20 of CACM Regulation may start in the third quarter of 2020 at the earliest due to time required for procurement, development and testing of the industrial FB capacity calculation tool.

Table 1. Milestones and criteria for implementation of FB approach for day-ahead timeframe

#	Milestone	Criteria to be met before moving to the next milestone
1	Market simulations in Simulation Facility using prototype FB tool (overlapping with milestone #2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Requirements/specifications for the industrialized tool are finished and are based on the CCM and the experience gained by using the prototype tool;</li> <li>• In order to increase transparency, stakeholders are involved in the development of stakeholder information tool;</li> <li>• Nordic regulatory authorities have approved the CCM.</li> </ul>
2	Investment decision - FB industrialized tool	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To the extent possible, FB market simulations are performed, and results are published to the stakeholders;</li> <li>• GSK and RM methodologies are ready for parallel run purposes;</li> <li>• CGMs are available and can be applied in the capacity calculation: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Steady-state CGM is available to allow active and reactive power flow and voltage analyses in steady state;</li> <li>○ TSOs apply local dynamic grid model to calculate dynamic stability limits;</li> </ul> </li> <li>• KPIs for a go-live of the FB approach have been specified, in dialogue with Nordic regulatory authorities and stakeholders.</li> </ul>
3	Parallel runs including FB and NTC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Parallel runs are performed in real NEMO systems (single day-ahead coupling) and capacity calculation parameters are submitted to NEMOs daily as with current NTC approach: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Precondition is that Euphemia is able to handle FB parameters for a larger area including Nordic CCR when performing calculations for the geographical scope of single day-ahead coupling;</li> </ul> </li> <li>• At the minimum 12 months of continuous parallel runs, where the KPIs are published at least on a monthly basis;</li> <li>• Results from the parallel runs are published daily;</li> <li>• KPIs for a go-live of the FB capacity calculation have been met.</li> </ul>
4	FB go-live	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• KPIs for a go-live of TSOs applying a dynamic CGM for the calculation of <math>F_{max}</math> for CNECs have been specified, in dialogue with Nordic regulatory authorities and stakeholders;</li> <li>• Dynamic CGM – pilot model: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Establish common data format for exchanging dynamic data;</li> <li>○ Develop common processes for exchanging dynamic data;</li> <li>○ Issue and validate the pilot dynamic model;</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Dynamic CGM - production model: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ IT tool(s) for production grade model has been identified;</li> <li>○ Validation of production grade model against other simulation tools and system incidents performed;</li> <li>○ Parallel run between new and existing processes (TSO-to-TSO);</li> </ul> </li> <li>• KPIs for a go-live of TSOs applying a dynamic CGM for the calculation of <math>F_{max}</math> for CNECs have been met.</li> </ul>



5	TSOs apply a dynamic CGM for calculation of $F_{max}$ for CNECs (intermediate process to take into account dynamics)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Processes and rules: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Develop processes for updating methodologies and tools;</li> <li>○ Develop processes for upgrading and validating dynamic CGM;</li> <li>○ Description of intermediate (TSO-to-TSO) and target (including the CC) solutions;</li> <li>○ Development of business processes;</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Development of IT requirements and specifications;</li> <li>• Tendering and procurement of IT tools;</li> <li>• Implementation (including parallel run);</li> </ul>
6	The CCC will calculate $F_{max}$ for CNECs taking into account operational security limits (to take into account dynamics)	

### **Article 27 Language**

The reference language for this Second Amendment shall be English. For the avoidance of doubt, where TSOs need to translate this Second Amendment into their national language(s), in the event of inconsistencies between the English version published by TSOs in accordance with Article 9(14) of the CACM Regulation and any version in another language, the relevant TSOs shall be obliged to dispel any inconsistencies by providing a revised translation of this Second Amendment to their relevant national regulatory authorities.

## Amendments to the methodology

3	Parallel runs including FB and NTC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Parallel runs are performed in TSO and NEMO systems (being the simulation facility or market operations system for single day-ahead coupling) and capacity calculation parameters are submitted to NEMOs' systems daily as with current NTC approach:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Precondition is that Euphemia is able to handle FB parameters for a larger area including Nordic CCR when performing calculations for the geographical scope of single day-ahead coupling;</li> </ul> </li> <li>• An evaluation report is written by the TSOs and delivered to the NRAs for assessment. Before submitting the report, the TSOs shall organize a stakeholder meeting based on the draft evaluation report.             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The report shall be submitted to the NRAs earliest 5 months after parallel runs with continuous publications of results have started.</li> <li>• The report shall cover at least a consecutive 3-month period of parallel runs, as close in time as possible to the publication of the report. All data presented in the report should be made available to NRAs, on a per MTU level of granularity.</li> <li>• The report shall include at least the following, based on a per MTU level of granularity:                 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A calculation of DA socio-economic effects (as measured by delta in consumers' surplus, producers' surplus and congestion income) from flow-based capacity calculation compared to the current capacity calculation method in use. The geographical area for this calculation shall be the Nordic market area plus neighboring countries if possible.</li> <li>• If the accumulated DA socio-economic effect of flow-based is negative over any two-week period, the TSOs shall provide analysis and explain why this occurred.</li> <li>• Percentage and number of MTUs where fallback measures (in accordance with Article 22) have been used. TSOs should also analyse the reasons for the use of fallback measures and include this analysis in the report.</li> <li>• Percentage and number of MTUs where delays in having FB parameters ready for delivery in time for the allocation mechanism by CCC have occurred. Each occurrence of a delay should be explicitly reported along with the reasons for the delay.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>
---	------------------------------------	---

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Percentage and number of MTUs where the availability of FB parameters for publication as required by the Transparency Regulation ((EU) 543/2013) has been delayed. Each occurrence of a delay should be explicitly reported along with the reasons for the delay.</li> <li>• Information on how the capacities available for trade in the intraday-market are affected by the implementation of this methodology for the day-ahead timeframe. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Quantitative data on the expected opening capacities for ID should be provided on MTU level. The calculations shall be performed using either a prototype tool or the industrialised tool.</li> <li>• A qualitative assessment and explanation should be provided.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Stakeholder feedback received in written form during the parallel runs. The feedback should be complemented with TSOs response to the comments received from stakeholders.</li> <li>• If the NRAs' common assessment of the first or any subsequent report comes to the conclusion that FB is not operating at a sufficient level, the TSOs will be given further time to develop the operational implementation of the methodology. In this case, the TSOs are required to send a new report covering at least 3 consecutive months of additional parallel runs. The second or any subsequent report after that shall have the same requirements as the first report.</li> </ul> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• At the minimum 12 months of continuous parallel runs, where the KPIs are published at least on a monthly basis;</li> <li>• Results from the capacity calculations in the parallel runs are published daily;</li> <li>• Results of the market simulations of the parallel runs for each trading day are published as soon as possible</li> <li>• KPIs for a go-live of the FB capacity calculation have been met</li> <li>• The NRAs have received the evaluation report and have not, within one month, communicated a joint opinion to TSOs that the results from parallel runs show that the methodology is not functioning at a sufficient level.</li> <li>• The TSOs have continued the parallel runs continuously for 6 months after awaiting the NRAs' assessment of the evaluation report.</li> </ul>
--	--	--

---

Nordic Capacity Calculation Region capacity calculation methodology in accordance with Article 20(2) of Commission Regulation (EU) 2015/1222 of 24 July 2015 establishing a guideline on capacity allocation and congestion management

14 October 2020

---

# Table of Contents

Whereas .....	4
<b>TITLE I General.....</b>	<b>6</b>
Article 1 Subject matter and scope .....	6
Article 2 Definitions and interpretation.....	7
<b>TITLE 2 Description of capacity calculation input for day-ahead and intraday timeframe....</b>	<b>9</b>
Article 3 Methodology for determining reliability margin.....	9
Article 4 Methodology for determining operational security limits.....	10
Article 5 Methodology for determining critical network elements and contingencies relevant to capacity calculation .....	11
Article 6 Methodology for allocation constraints.....	12
Article 7 Methodology for determining generation shift keys (GSKs).....	13
Article 8 Rules for avoiding undue discrimination between internal and cross-zonal exchanges .....	15
Article 9 Methodology for determining remedial actions (RAs) to be considered in capacity calculation.....	15
Article 10 Impact of remedial actions (RAs) on critical network elements.....	16
Article 11 Previously allocated cross-zonal capacities.....	16
<b>TITLE 3 Description of the capacity calculation process for day-ahead and intraday timeframe.....</b>	<b>16</b>
Article 12 Description of the applied capacity calculation approach with different capacity calculation inputs.....	16
Article 13 Description of the calculation of power transfer distribution factors .....	18
Article 14 Definition of the final list of CNECs for day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation.....	19
Article 15 Rules on the adjustment of power flows on critical network elements due to RAs	20
Article 16 Rules for taking into account previously allocated cross-zonal capacity .....	20
Article 17 Description of the calculation of available margins on critical network elements before validation .....	21
Article 18 Rules for sharing the power flow capabilities of CNECs among different CCRs...	23
<b>TITLE 4 Description of capacity validation for day-ahead and intraday timeframe.....</b>	<b>23</b>
Article 19 Methodology for the validation of cross-zonal capacity .....	23
<b>TITLE 5 Miscellaneous .....</b>	<b>25</b>
Article 20 Transitional solution for calculation and allocation of intraday cross-zonal capacities for continuous trading in the Intraday timeframe.....	25
Article 21 Reassessment frequency of cross-zonal capacity for the intraday timeframe.....	26
Article 22 Fallback procedure if the initial capacity calculation does not lead to any results.	26

<b>Article 23 Monitoring data to the Nordic regulatory authorities</b> .....	26
<b>Article 24 Reviews and updates</b> .....	26
<b>Article 25 Publication of data</b> .....	27
<b>TITLE 6 Final Provisions</b> .....	29
<b>Article 26 Publication and Implementation</b> .....	29
<b>Article 27 Language</b> .....	33

All TSOs of the Nordic Capacity Calculation Region, taking into account the following:

### **Whereas**

- (1) This document is a common proposal for the second amendment (hereafter referred to as “Second Amendment”) developed by all Transmission System Operators (hereafter referred to as “TSOs”) of the Nordic Capacity Calculation Region (hereafter referred to as “Nordic CCR”) as defined in accordance with Article 15 of Commission Regulation (EU) 2015/1222 establishing a guideline on Capacity Allocation and Congestion Management (hereafter referred to as the “CACM Regulation”) regarding a methodology for Capacity Calculation (hereafter referred to as “CCM”) in accordance with Article 20 and Article 21 of the CACM Regulation.
- (2) All regulatory authorities of Nordic CCR (hereafter referred to as the “Nordic regulatory authorities”) have approved the CCM in July 2018. These regulatory authorities have sent a request for amendment (hereafter referred to as “RfA”) to all TSOs in December 2018 in accordance with Article 9(13) of the CACM Regulation. All TSOs submitted to all Nordic regulatory authorities a first proposal for amendment (hereafter referred to as the “First Amendment”) regarding methodology for Capacity Calculation in accordance with Article 20(2) and Article 21 of the CACM Regulation. The First Amendment was approved by all Nordic regulatory authorities in autumn 2019.
- (3) The First Amendment took into account the requested changes to capacity calculation methodology listed in the RfA. It expanded Article 4(1) of the approved methodology to state that each TSO is required to provide the operational security limits to the CCC in an appropriate format compliant with the RfA. The First Amendment included a capacity calculation process in a written format clarifying the roles and responsibilities of TSOs and the CCC. In addition, the First Amendment took into account the request that the TSOs start to develop an appropriate grid model in coordination with each other, in order for the CCC to handle dynamic stability in capacity calculation.
- (4) The Second Amendment takes into account the general principles and goals set in the CACM Regulation as well as Regulation (EU) 2019/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on the internal market of electricity (hereafter referred to as “Regulation (EU) 2019/943”). The goal of the CACM Regulation is the coordination and harmonisation of capacity calculation and allocation in the day-ahead and intraday cross-border markets, and it sets requirements for the TSOs to co-operate on the level of CCR for coordinated capacity calculation.
- (5) The Second Amendment substitutes entirely the approved CCM and the First Amendment. This Second Amendment aligns the CCM for day-ahead and intraday timeframe with the capacity calculation methodology for the long-term timeframe as decided by ACER in the decision No 16/2019.
- (6) This Second Amendment provides a transition period for the allocation of intraday cross-zonal capacities based on flow-based (hereafter referred to as “FB”) parameters. Until the single intraday coupling is able to allocate cross-zonal capacities using the FB parameters, the TSOs may convert the FB parameters resulting from the application of the FB approach into available

transfer capacities ('ATC') for each bidding zone border to be used for intraday capacity allocation by the single intraday coupling.

- (7) According to Article 9(9) of the CACM Regulation, the expected impact of the proposal on the objectives of the CACM Regulation shall be described. The Second Amendment contributes to and does not in any way hamper the achievement of the objectives of Article 3 of the CACM Regulation. In particular, the Second Amendment serves the same objectives as the approved CCM and the First Amendment. In addition, the Second Amendment harmonises the CCM across long-term, day-ahead and intraday timeframes defining the same transitional solution for intraday timeframe as defined for the long-term timeframe.
- (8) The Second Amendment promotes effective competition in the generation, trading and supply of electricity (Article 3(a) of the CACM Regulation) since the Second Amendment supports fair and equal access to the transmission system as it applies to all market participants on all bidding zone borders in Nordic CCR. Market participants will have access to the same reliable information on cross-zonal capacities and allocation constraints for the day-ahead and the intraday allocation, in a transparent way. The FB approach does not implicitly pre-select or exclude bids from market participants and, hence the competitiveness of bidding is the only criteria on which bids of market participants are selected during the matching, yet taking the significant grid constraints into consideration. The CCM applies remedial actions (hereafter referred to as "RAs"), increasing cross-zonal capacity and capacity on internal critical network elements (hereafter referred to as "CNE") in order to improve effective competition between internal and cross-zonal trades, taking operational security and economic efficiency into account.
- (9) The Second Amendment secures optimal use of the transmission capacity (Article 3(b) of the CACM Regulation) as it takes advantage of the FB approach, representing the limitations in the alternating current (hereafter referred to as "AC") grids. The approach aims at providing the maximum available capacity to market participants within the operational security limits. Non-costly RAs are taken into account if they are available. There is no predefined and static split of the capacities on CNEs. The flows within the Nordic CCR and between the Nordic CCR and adjacent CCRs are decided based on economic efficiency during the capacity allocation phase. The CCM treats all bidding zone borders within the Nordic CCR and adjacent CCRs equally and provides non-discriminatory access to the cross-zonal capacity. For the intraday timeframe, the transitional solution ensures better use of transmission capacity compared to the currently applied method until the FB approach is implemented.
- (10) The Second Amendment secures operational security (Article 3(c) of the CACM Regulation) as the grid constraints are taken into account in the day-ahead and intraday timeframe providing the maximum available capacity to market participants within the operational security limits, hereby not allowing for more cross-zonal exchange possibilities than can be supported by available RAs. This supports operational security in a short time perspective, where bidding zone re-configuration will be used in a mid-term perspective and grid investments in the long-term perspective. Furthermore, the TSOs shall include the description of the format used for the provision of operational security limits to the coordinated capacity calculator (hereafter referred to as "CCC"). In addition, operational security is ensured by the development of appropriate grid models and processes in order for the CCC to handle dynamic stability in capacity calculation.
- (11) The Second Amendment serves the objective of optimising the calculation and allocation of cross-zonal capacity in accordance with Article 3(d) of the CACM Regulation since the CCM is using the FB approach for the day-ahead timeframe and also for the intraday timeframe - when the conditions for implementation have been fulfilled - providing optimal cross-zonal capacities to market participants. Better optimisation in the intraday timeframe, compared to the current method, can be achieved with a transitional solution until a FB approach is



implemented. Moreover, optimisation of capacity calculation is secured based on coordination between the TSOs, hereby applying a CGM and a CCC.

- (12) The Second Amendment serves the objective of transparency and reliability of information (Article 3(f) of the CACM Regulation) as the CCM determines the main principles and main processes for the day-ahead and intraday timeframes. The CCM enables TSOs to provide market participants with the same reliable information on cross-zonal capacities and allocation constraints for day-ahead and intraday allocation in a transparent way. To facilitate transparency, the TSOs publish data to the market on a regular basis to help market participants to evaluate the capacity calculation process.
- (13) The Second Amendment does not hinder an efficient long-term operation in Nordic CCR and adjacent CCRs, and the development of the transmission system in the European Union (Article 3(g) of the CACM Regulation). The Second Amendment, by taking most important grid constraints into consideration, will support efficient pricing in the market, providing the right signals from a long-term perspective.
- (14) The Second Amendment contributes to the objective of respecting the need for a fair and orderly market and price formation (Article 3(h) of the CACM Regulation) by making available in due time the cross-zonal capacity to be released in the day-ahead and intraday market.
- (15) The Second Amendment provides non-discriminatory access to cross-zonal capacity (Article 3(j) of the CACM Regulation) as the CCM will be amended within a deadline to improve the methodology by including a method for assessing the economic efficiency of increasing the margin on internal network elements (combined with the relevant contingencies) in the day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation.
- (16) In conclusion, the Second Amendment contributes to the general objectives of the CACM Regulation to the benefit of market participants and electricity end consumers.

**SUBMIT THE FOLLOWING CCM TO ALL REGULATORY AUTHORITIES OF THE NORDIC CCR:**

## **TITLE I General**

### **Article 1**

#### **Subject matter and scope**

1. The Second Amendment substitutes entirely the CCM approved by the Nordic regulatory authorities in July 2018 and the First Amendment approved by the Nordic regulatory authorities in autumn 2019.
2. The CCM is the common methodology of all TSOs in Nordic CCR in accordance with Article 20(2) and Article 21 of the CACM Regulation.
3. The CCM applies solely to the Nordic CCR as defined in accordance with Article 15 of the CACM Regulation.
4. The CCM covers the capacity calculation methodologies for the day-ahead and intraday timeframes.

## **Article 2**

### **Definitions and interpretation**

1. For the purposes of the Proposal, the terms used shall have the meaning given to them in Article 2 of the Regulation (EU) 2019/943, Article 2 of the CACM Regulation, Article 3 of Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/1485 of 2 August 2017 establishing a guideline on electricity transmission system operation (hereafter referred to as "SO Regulation"), Article 2 of the Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/2195 of 23 November 2017 establishing a guideline on electricity balancing (hereafter referred to as "Balancing Regulation"), and Article 2 of Commission Regulation (EU) No 543/2013 of 14 June 2013 on submission and publication of data in electricity markets and amending Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 714/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council (hereafter referred to as "Transparency Regulation").
2. In addition, in this CCM, the following terms shall have the meaning below:
  1. 'ATC' means the available transmission capacity on bidding zone borders, which is the transmission capacity that remains available after the deduction of eventual previously allocated capacities and which respects the physical conditions of the transmission system;
  2. 'CCC' means the coordinated capacity calculator, as defined in Article 2(11) of the CACM Regulation, of the Nordic CCR, unless stated otherwise;
  3. 'CCR' means the capacity calculation region as defined in Article 2(3) of the CACM Regulation;
  4. 'CGM' means the common grid model as defined in Article 2(2) of the CACM Regulation and means a CGM established in accordance with the common grid model methodology, pursuant to Article 17 of the CACM Regulation;
  5. 'CNE' means a critical network element;
  6. 'CNEC' means a critical network element monitored under a contingency;
  7. 'combined dynamic constraint' means a limit on the sum of power flows on a set of network elements or partial flows on a set of network elements for the purpose to respect dynamic stability limits;
  8. 'cross-zonal network element' means a network element located on the bidding zone border or connected in series to such network element transferring the same power (without considering the network losses);
  9. ' $F_0$ ' means the linear approximation of a flow in the reference net position on a CNEC or combined dynamic constraint in a situation without any cross-zonal exchanges;
  10. ' $F_{max}$ ' or ' $F_{max}$ ' means the maximum flow on a CNEC or combined dynamic constraint;
  11. ' $F_{RA}$ ' means the flow for increasing the RAM on a CNEC or combined dynamic constraint due to RAs taken into account in capacity calculation;
  12. ' $F_{RM}$ ' means flow for reliability margin for all CNECs and combined dynamic constraints
  13. ' $F_{ref}$ ' means the reference flow on a CNEC or combined dynamic constraint;
  14. 'GSK' means the generation shift key as defined in Article 2(12) of the CACM Regulation;
  15. 'HVDC network element' means a high voltage direct current network element;
  16. 'IGM' means the individual grid model as defined in Article 2(1) of the CACM Regulation;
  17. ' $I_{max}$ ' means the maximum admissible current of a CNE or a CNEC;
  18. 'Nordic CCR' means the Nordic capacity calculation region as determined pursuant to Article 15 of the CACM Regulation;

19. ‘internal CNE’ means a critical network element (CNE) that is located inside a bidding zone and that is limiting the amount of power that can be exchanged between bidding zones;
  20. ‘internal network element’ means a network element, which is not cross-zonal;
  21. ‘merging agent’ means a party, which builds the CGM from IGMs sent by each TSO and sends the CGM to the CCC for capacity calculation;
  22. ‘NP’ or ‘*NP*’ means a net position of a bidding zone, which is the net value of generation and consumption in a bidding zone;
  23. ‘previously allocated cross-zonal capacities’ means the capacities which have already been allocated;
  24. ‘PTDF’ or ‘*PTDF*’ means a power transfer distribution factor;
  25. ‘RA’ means a remedial action as defined in Article 2(13) of the CACM Regulation;
  26. ‘RAM’ or ‘*RAM*’ means a remaining available margin on a CNEC or a combined dynamic constraint;
  27. ‘reference net position’ or ‘reference exchange’ means a position of a bidding zone or an exchange over HVDC interconnection assumed within the CGM;
  28. ‘reliability margin’ or ‘RM’ means the reliability margin as defined in Article 2(14) of the CACM Regulation;
  29. ‘slack node’ means the single reference node per synchronous area used for determination of the PTDF matrix, i.e. shifting the power infeed of generators up results in absorption of the power shift in the slack node. A slack node remains constant for each scenario;
  30. ‘snapshot’ means like a photo of a TSO’s power system state taken from the TSOs’ control system, showing the voltage, currents, and power flows in the power system at the time of taking the photo;
  31. ‘zone-to-slack *PTDF*’ means the PTDF of a commercial exchange between a bidding zone and the slack node;
  32. ‘zone-to-zone *PTDF*’ means the PTDF of a commercial exchange between two bidding zones;
  33. ‘virtual bidding zone’ means a bidding zone without any buy and sell orders from market participants;
  34. the notation  $x$  denotes a scalar;
  35. the notation  $\vec{x}$  denotes a vector; and
  36. the notation  $\mathbf{x}$  denotes a matrix.
3. In this CCM, unless the context requires otherwise:
- (a) the singular indicates the plural and vice versa;
  - (b) any reference to the day-ahead or intraday calculation, day-ahead or intraday capacity calculation process or the day-ahead or intraday capacity calculation methodology shall mean a common day-ahead or intraday calculation, common day-ahead or intraday capacity calculation process and common day-ahead or intraday capacity calculation methodology respectively, which is applied by all TSOs in a common and coordinated way on all bidding zone borders of the Nordic CCR;
  - (c) the table of contents and the headings are inserted for convenience only and do not affect the interpretation of this CCM; and
  - (d) any reference to legislation, regulations, directives, orders, instruments, codes or any other enactment shall include any modifications, extensions or re-enactment of it when in force.

4. For the sake of clarity this CCM does not affect TSOs' right to delegate their task in accordance with the Article 81 of the CACM Regulation. In this CCM the reference to a TSO shall mean Transmission System Operator or to a third party, whom the TSO has delegated task(s) to, in accordance with the CACM Regulation, where applicable. However, the delegating TSO shall remain responsible for ensuring compliance with the obligations under the CACM Regulation.

## **TITLE 2**

### **Description of capacity calculation input for day-ahead and intraday timeframe**

#### **Article 3**

##### **Methodology for determining reliability margin**

1. The TSOs shall determine the reliability margin as follows:
  - (a) The reliability margin (hereafter referred to as “RM”) is determined for AC grid elements only.
  - (b) A probability distribution of the deviation between the expected and realized (observed) power flows is determined at least annually for each AC CNEC and combined dynamic constraint, based on historical snapshots of the CGM for different market time units. The realized (observed) power flows for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint are obtained from the snapshot, where also the potential contingencies associated with this CNEC and combined dynamic constraint are taken into account. The net positions from the snapshot are used with the FB parameters or in the CGM to compute the expected power flows. The differences between the realized and expected power flows (in MW) form the prediction error distribution for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint. The prediction errors shall be fitted to a statistical distribution that minimizes the modelling error.
  - (c) The reliability margin value shall be calculated by deriving a value from the probability distribution based on the TSOs risk level value as defined in paragraph 5.
  - (d) The unintended deviations of the physical electricity flows within a market time unit, caused by the adjustment of electricity flows within and between control areas, to maintain a constant frequency (frequency containment reserve), are not part of the reliability margin described in paragraphs 1(a) – 1(c) and need to be assessed separately (hereafter referred to as “FCR margin”). The final RM value is the sum of the RM value and the FCR margin; the TSO shall send this RM values as input data to the CCC.
2. The principles for calculating the probability distribution of the deviations between the expected power flows at the time of the capacity calculation and realized power flows in real time are as follows:
  - (a) The methodology for RM determination described in paragraphs 1(a) – 1(c) is applied on all CNECs and combined dynamic constraints; and
  - (b) Separate distributions are formed for capacities that are calculated based on CGMs for day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation timeframes.
3. The uncertainties covered by the RM values, described in the paragraph 1 originate from various elements, such as:
  - (a) Uncertainty in load forecast;
  - (b) Uncertainty in generation forecasts (generation dispatch, wind prognosis, etc.);

- (c) Assumptions inherent in the generation shift key (hereafter referred to as “GSK”) strategy;
  - (d) Uncertainty in external trades to adjacent synchronous areas;
  - (e) Application of a linear grid model (with the power transfer distribution factors (hereafter referred to as the “PTDFs”)), constant voltage profile and reactive power;
  - (f) Topology changes due to e.g. unplanned outages of network elements;
  - (g) Internal trade in each bidding zone; and
  - (h) Grid model errors, assumptions and simplifications.
4. The margin caused by the activation of the frequency containment reserve (hereafter referred to as “FCR”) shall be modelled separately and added to the RM, pursuant to paragraph 1(d). The following approach shall be applied:
    - (a) The FCR power flow impact shall be computed for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint based on historical information, forming FCR power flow distributions; and
    - (b) The FCR margin value for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint shall be calculated by deriving a value from the probability distribution based on the TSOs risk level value as defined in paragraph 5.
  5. The TSOs shall take into account the operational security limits, the power system uncertainties and the available RAs when determining the risk level for their CNECs and combined dynamic constraints to ensure the system security and efficient system operation. This risk level shall determine how the RM value and FCR margin value shall be derived from their probability distributions. The risk level is defined as the area (cumulative probability) right of the RM value and FCR margin value in their probability distribution. The TSOs shall use the predefined risk level of 95%.
  6. The TSOs shall store the differences between the realized and expected flows in a database that allows the TSOs to make statistical analyses.
  7. The probability distributions, RM values, and FCR margin values, shall be stored in a standardized data format for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint. The RM value shall be defined and stored as an absolute value (in MW). It may be converted for comparison purposes to a percentage of the CNEC’s or combined dynamic constraint’s maximum flow (hereinafter referred to as “ $F_{max}$ ”).
  8. The TSOs shall perform the calculation of the RM regularly and at least once a year applying the latest information, for the same period of analysis for the RM and FCR margins, on the probability distribution of the deviations between expected power flows at the time of capacity calculation and realized power flows in real time.

#### **Article 4**

##### **Methodology for determining operational security limits**

1. Each Nordic TSO shall provide to the CCC for each CNEC, day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation time frame and each scenario the operational security limits, which are needed by the CCC to calculate the maximum flow on CNECs in accordance with Article 29(7)(c) of the CACM Regulation. For each of the operational security limit defined pursuant to paragraph 2, the concerned TSO shall specify the CNEC(s) to which these limits should be applied and translated into maximum flow on CNECs.
2. Each TSO shall apply the same operational security limits as in the operational security analysis. These limits shall be defined in accordance with Article 25 of the SO Regulation. The TSOs shall

provide these operational security limits to the CCC in the following format describing a specific power system physical property:

- (a) thermal limits shall be expressed in maximum admissible current ( $I_{max}$ ) with the unit of Ampere;
  - (b) voltage limits shall be expressed in nominal voltage (per unit);
  - (c) frequency limits shall be expressed in Hertz; and
  - (d) dynamic stability limits shall be expressed in (i) per unit for voltage stability and (ii) damping for electromechanical oscillations.
3. The maximum admissible current representing thermal limit according to paragraph 2(a) shall be defined as follows:
- (a) the maximum admissible current representing thermal limits shall be defined as fixed limit for each scenario representing the ambient conditions of this scenario.
  - (b) when applicable, the maximum admissible current representing thermal limits shall be defined as a temporary current limit of the CNE in accordance with Article 25 of the SO Regulation. A temporary current limit means that an overload is only allowed for a certain finite duration. As a result, various CNECs associated with the same CNE may have different  $I_{max}$  values.
  - (c) the maximum admissible current representing thermal limits shall represent only real physical properties of the CNE and shall not be reduced by any security margin.
4. TSOs shall regularly review and update operational security limits in accordance with Article 24.

## **Article 5**

### **Methodology for determining critical network elements and contingencies relevant to capacity calculation**

1. Each Nordic TSO shall define a list of CNEs, which are fully or partly located in its own control area, and which can be, *inter alia*, overhead lines, underground cables and transformers. All cross-zonal network elements shall be defined as CNEs, whereas only those internal network elements, which are defined pursuant to paragraphs 5 to 7 shall be defined as CNEs. Until 30 days after the approval of the proposal pursuant to paragraph 5, all internal network elements may be defined as CNEs.
2. Each Nordic TSO shall define a list of proposed contingencies used in operational security analysis in accordance with Article 33 of the SO Regulation, limited to their relevance for the set of CNEs as defined in paragraph 1 and pursuant to Article 23(2) of the CACM Regulation. The contingencies of a Nordic TSO shall be located within the observability area (as defined in Article 3(2)(48) of the SO Regulation) of that Nordic TSO. This list shall be updated at least on a yearly basis and in case of topology changes in the grid of the Nordic TSO, pursuant to Article 24. A contingency can be, *inter alia*, an unplanned outage of:
  - (a) a line, a cable, or a transformer;
  - (b) a busbar;
  - (c) a generating unit;
  - (d) a load; or
  - (e) a set of the such network elements.

3. Each Nordic TSO shall establish a list of CNEs associated with a contingency (CNECs) by associating the contingencies established pursuant to paragraph 2 with the CNEs established pursuant to paragraph 1 following the rules established in accordance with Article 75 of the SO Regulation. Until such rules are established and enter into force, the association of contingencies to CNEs shall be based on each TSO's operational experience. An individual CNEC may also be established without a contingency.
4. Each TSO shall provide to the CCC for day-ahead and intraday time frame and each scenario a list of CNECs established pursuant to paragraph 3.
5. No later than eighteen months after the implementation of this methodology in accordance with Article 26(2), all TSOs shall jointly develop a proposal for amendment of this methodology in accordance with Article 9(13) of the CACM Regulation, which shall improve this methodology by including a method for assessing the economic efficiency of increasing margin on internal network elements (combined with the relevant contingencies) in the day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation. This proposal shall be submitted by the same deadline to Nordic regulatory authorities for approval.
6. The methodology referred to in paragraph 5 shall define a process by which TSOs regularly, at least annually, analyse and identify internal network elements on which congestions are most efficiently addressed with day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation, taking into account other alternative measures for managing congestions on internal network elements, such as:
  - (a) application of RA;
  - (b) reconfiguration of bidding zones;
  - (c) investments in network infrastructure combined with one or the two above; or
  - (d) any combination of (a), (b) and (c).
7. The methodology referred to in paragraphs 5 and 6 shall also ensure that TSOs take into account different timescales needed to implement alternative solutions such that including internal network elements in capacity calculation is allowed only until the alternative solution(s), which are identified as more efficient, can be implemented.
8. The TSOs shall regularly review and update the application of the methodology for determining CNECs as defined in Article 24.

## **Article 6**

### **Methodology for allocation constraints**

1. In case operational security limits cannot be transformed efficiently into maximum flow on specific CNECs pursuant to Article 4, the TSOs may transform them into allocation constraints and provide them to the CCC to be used in the day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation. For this purpose, the TSOs may use the combined dynamic constraint, which limits the sum of power flows on a set of network elements, for the purpose to respect the dynamic stability limits. These TSOs shall provide to the CCC the  $F_{\max}$  for each defined combined dynamic constraint and the information on which network elements are combined into such combined dynamic constraint.
2. Allocation constraints pursuant to paragraph 1 may be used during a transition period of two years following the implementation of this methodology in accordance with Article 26(2). During this transition period, the concerned TSOs shall calculate the value of each combined dynamic constraint by performing a dynamic stability analysis in accordance with Article 38 of the SO Regulation at least on an annual basis and updated on a monthly basis, if relevant. The concerned TSOs shall publish the results and the underlying analysis.

3. In case the concerned TSOs cannot find and implement a more efficient solution than the applied combined dynamic constraint, they may, by eighteen months after the implementation of this methodology in accordance with Article 26(2), together with all other TSOs, submit to the Nordic regulatory authorities a proposal for amendment of this methodology in accordance with Article 9(13) of CACM Regulation. Such a proposal shall include the following:
  - (a) the technical and legal justification for the need to continue using the combined dynamic constraint indicating the underlying operational security limits and why they cannot be transformed efficiently into maximum flow on specific CNECs; and
  - (b) a detailed methodology to calculate the values of the combined dynamic constraints.

In case such a proposal has been submitted by all TSOs, the transition period referred to in paragraph 2 shall be extended until the decision on the proposal is taken by the Nordic regulatory authorities.

4. TSOs applying allocation constraints shall regularly review and update the application of allocation constraints in accordance with Article 24.
5. In addition, TSOs may apply other allocation constraints in day-ahead and intraday timeframe in accordance with Article 23(3) of the CACM Regulation. The relevant TSOs shall provide these allocation constraints to the CCC. The TSOs may apply either of the following allocation constraints:
  - (a) Ramping rates: Ramping rates define the maximum flow changes on HVDC interconnections between market time units. Due to imbalances generated by flows on HVDC interconnections between market time units, ramping rates are needed in order to maintain the stability of the power system. Ramping rates ensure that the maximum flow change on HVDC interconnections between market time units is kept within the available balancing power reserves or within the technical limits of HVDC interconnections.
  - (b) Implicit loss factors: The implicit loss factors on HVDC interconnections account for the power loss on HVDC interconnections by the following equation:

$$\text{Export quantity} = (1 - \text{"Loss Factor"}) * \text{Import quantity}$$

*Equation 1*

The implicit loss factor is a correction mechanism for a negative external effect incentivising the market to respect the cost of electricity losses on HVDC interconnections in the market coupling. The implicit loss factor may be applied on an HVDC interconnection if an EU-wide welfare economic benefit can be demonstrated to the NRAs.

6. Each TSO applying the allocation constraints according to paragraph 5 shall communicate and justify application of those constraints to the market participants.

**Article 7**  
**Methodology for determining generation shift keys (GSKs)**

1. Each Nordic TSO shall provide to the CCC for each of the bidding zone under its responsibility, day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation time frame and each scenario, the GSK to be used in the day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation.
2. GSKs shall define how a net position change in a given bidding zone shall be distributed to each production and load unit on that bidding zone in the CGM. These GSKs shall represent the best forecast of the relation of a change in the net position of a bidding zone to a specific change of



generation or load in the CGM for each scenario. The forecast shall take into account the information received in accordance with Article 10 and Article 12 of the generation and load data provision methodology developed by all TSOs in accordance with Article 16 of the CACM Regulation.

3. Each TSO shall apply for a given bidding zone and the given scenario one of the GSK strategies listed below:

Strategy number	Generation	Load	Description/comment
0	$k_g$	$k_l$	Custom GSK strategy with individual set of GSK factors for each generator unit and load for each market time unit for a TSO
1	$\max\{P_g - P_{min}, 0\}$	0	Generators participate relative to their margin to the generation minimum (MW) for the unit
2	$\max\{P_{max} - P_g, 0\}$	0	Generators participate relative to their margin to the installed capacity (MW) for the unit
3	$P_{max}$	0	Generators participate relative to their maximum (installed) capacity (MW)
4	1.0	0	Equal GSK factors for all generators, independently of the size of the generator unit
5	$P_g$	0	Generators participate relative to their current power generation (MW)
6	$P_g$	$P_l$	Generators and loads participate relative to their current expected power generation or loading power (MW)
7	0	$P_l$	Loads participate relative to their expected loading power (MW)
8	0	1.0	Equal GSK factors for all loads, independently of their expected size of loading power

where

$k_g$  : GSK factor [pu] for generator g

$k_l$  : GSK factor [pu] for load l

$P_g$  : Active power generation [MW] for generator g contained in CGM

$P_{min}$  : Minimum active generator output [MW] for generator g

$P_{max}$  : Maximum active generator output [MW] for generator g

$P_l$  : Active power load [MW] for load l contained in CGM

4. Within eighteen months after the implementation of this methodology in accordance with Article 26(2), all TSOs shall develop a proposal for amendment of this methodology in accordance with Article 9(13) of the CACM Regulation, which shall further harmonise the generation shift key methodology. This proposal shall be submitted by the same deadline to the Nordic regulatory authorities for approval. The proposal shall at least include:
  - (a) the criteria and metrics for defining the efficiency and performance of GSKs and allowing for quantitative comparison of different GSKs; and
  - (b) a harmonised generation shift key methodology combined with, where necessary, rules and criteria for TSOs to deviate from the harmonised GSK methodology.
5. TSOs shall regularly review and update the application of the GSKs in accordance with Article 24.

## **Article 8**

### **Rules for avoiding undue discrimination between internal and cross-zonal exchanges**

1. The TSOs shall take actions to avoid undue discrimination between internal and cross-zonal exchanges in accordance with Article 16(8) of the Regulation (EU) 2019/943.
2. In a short-term perspective the TSOs shall apply RAs in accordance with Article 17 based on the assessment according to Article 9 and 10.
3. In a mid-term perspective, the TSOs shall review the existing bidding-zone configuration in accordance with Article 32 of the CACM Regulation. In this review, the TSOs shall study whether a reconfiguration of bidding zones would bring benefits in accordance with Article 33 of the CACM Regulation.
4. In a long-term perspective, the TSOs shall consider efficient investments.

## **Article 9**

### **Methodology for determining remedial actions (RAs) to be considered in capacity calculation**

1. Each TSO shall define explicit RAs to be taken into account in capacity calculation and provide them to CCC for each day-ahead and intraday market time unit. The relevant RAs shall be coordinated between TSOs, clearly described, and communicated to other TSOs.
2. The RAs referred to in paragraph 1 shall be used for increasing the day-ahead and intraday cross-zonal capacities while ensuring operational security.
3. When defining RAs pursuant to paragraph 1, each TSO shall take into account available non-costly RAs. Costly RAs shall be applied for internal CNECs, if foreseen to be available for both day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation timeframes and if they contribute to an increased economic welfare, in accordance with Article 10.
4. TSOs shall apply any of, or a combination of, the following RAs:
  - (a) System protection schemes, being an automatic tripping of generation, consumption or grid elements in case of fault;
  - (b) Topology changes, being any changes in grid topology in order to minimise the effect of faults;
  - (c) Redispatching; and
  - (d) Countertrading.
5. Each TSO shall quantify and list the RAs foreseen to be available in its own control area and to apply them in the capacity calculation. The availability of costly and non-costly RAs shall be assessed on daily basis and communicated to the CCC, taking into account:
  - a) outage information
  - b) constraints on generation and consumption flexibility
6. When TSO(s) is unable to define explicitly the RAs to be taken into account in capacity calculation due to uncertainty of their actual availability in real-time, but is able to evaluate the approximate adjustment of flows on critical network elements or combined dynamic constraints due to RAs by taking into account the statistics and probability of the availability of RAs, it may provide to the CCC the minimum  $F_{RA}$  that needs to be respected when calculating the  $F_{RA}$  in accordance with

Article 15. When determining this minimum  $F_{RA}$ , TSOs may also take into account other (costly or non-costly) RAs.

7. The TSOs shall regularly review and update the application of RAs taken into account in the capacity calculation in accordance with Article 24.

### **Article 10** **Impact of remedial actions (RAs) on critical network elements**

1. Internal CNECs and internal combined dynamic constraints provided to the capacity calculation and allocation by TSOs in accordance with Article 5, shall be justified based on operational security, employing an assessment of availability of RAs, and economic efficiency in accordance with the methodology to be developed according to Article 5(5).
2. If RAs are not available or when their availability cannot be expected, according to Article 9, the RAM on the CNECs and combined dynamic constraints calculated in accordance with Article 17, shall not increase.
3. For non-costly RAs to be expected to be available, the extent to which these relieve the flows on the CNECs and combined dynamic constraints, shall be added to the RAM in accordance with Article 17.
4. For costly RAs to be expected to be available, the extent to which these relieve the flows on the internal CNECs and internal combined dynamic constraints shall be added to the RAM in accordance with Article 17 only if economic efficiency of applying the costly RA can be demonstrated. TSOs shall define the rules for economic efficiency within the methodology to be developed in accordance with Article 5(5).
5. The impact of RAs shall be taken into account in individual TSOs' list of CNECs and combined dynamic constraints in accordance with Article 5.
6. The TSOs shall regularly review and update the impact of RAs on CNECs and combined dynamic constraints as defined in Article 24.

### **Article 11** **Previously allocated cross-zonal capacities**

Each TSO shall provide to the CCC for each Nordic bidding zone border and for day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation time frame the previously allocated cross-zonal capacities.

## **TITLE 3** **Description of the capacity calculation process for day-ahead and intraday timeframe**

### **Article 12** **Description of the applied capacity calculation approach with different capacity calculation inputs**

1. The capacity calculation process for the day-ahead and intraday timeframe shall use the FB process.

The capacity calculation process for the day-ahead and intraday timeframe and for each market time unit within these timeframes is as follows:

- (a) Each TSO shall create an IGM for its bidding zone(s) and send it to the merging agent for merging IGMs to build the CGM in accordance with Article 17 of the CACM Regulation. The IGM shall include dynamic data for the CCC to facilitate dynamic stability analysis in capacity calculation in accordance with Table 1 of Article 26;
- (b) The merging agent shall send the CGM to the CCC for calculation of  $F_{\max}$ ;
- (c) Each TSO shall send GSK strategies as defined in Article 7 to the CCC for calculation of  $F_{\max}$ ;
- (d) Each TSO shall send contingencies for its bidding zone(s) determined in accordance with Article 5 to the CCC for calculation of  $F_{\max}$ ;
- (e) Each TSO shall send operational security limits for its bidding zone(s) determined in accordance with Article 4 to the CCC for calculation of  $F_{\max}$ . A TSO may transform operational security limits for dynamic stability into allocation constraints and send these as combined dynamic constraints defined in accordance with Article 6(1) to the CCC for calculation of  $F_{\max}$ ;
- (f) Each TSO shall send CNECs for its bidding zone(s) determined in accordance with Article 5 to the CCC to be considered in capacity calculation;
- (g) The CCC shall calculate  $F_{\max}$  for each CNEC in accordance with Article 17 applying the CGM, GSKs, contingencies, operational security limits, combined dynamic constraints, and CNECs submitted by each TSO;
- (h) Each TSO shall send RM for each CNEC determined in accordance with Article 3 to the CCC for calculation of RAMs;
- (i) Each TSO shall send AAC for each CNEC determined in accordance with Article 16 to the CCC for calculation of RAMs;
- (j) Each TSO shall send RA for each CNEC determined in accordance with Article 9 and Article 10 to the CCC for calculation of RAMs;
- (k) The CCC shall calculate RAM for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint determined in accordance with Article 17 and PTDFs in accordance with Article 13 taking into account rules for sharing the power flow capabilities of CNECs among different CCRs in accordance with Article 18;
- (l) The CCC shall send FB parameters calculated in accordance with Article 13 and Article 17 to each TSO for validation in accordance with Article 19;
- (m) Each TSO shall send validated FB parameters, including adjustments to FB parameters, to the CCC;
- (n) Each TSO shall send allocation constraints determined in accordance with Article 6(5) to the CCC;
- (o) The CCC shall send the validated FB parameters and allocation constraints to relevant NEMOs for the purpose of allocating cross-zonal capacity by MCO in accordance with the CACM Regulation;
- (p) Relevant NEMOs shall publish validated FB parameters and allocation constraints to the market in accordance with Article 46(1) of the CACM Regulation; and
- (q) The CCC shall publish validated FB parameters, allocation constraints and other information requested in accordance with Article 25.

2. The capacity calculation process shall be performed by the CCC and shall provide the following capacity calculation results to be validated by each TSO:
  - (a) Calculation of the PTDF matrix, where each factor in the matrix,  $PTDF_j^A$ , represents the percentage of 1 MW injected in bidding zone A, and extracted from a defined slack node, that will appear on the CNEC or combined dynamic constraint j in accordance with Article 13; and
  - (b) Calculation of the RAM for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint, which shall be the amount of transmission capacity available for capacity validation and determined in accordance with Article 17.
3. The PTDF matrix and RAM vector shall form FB parameters describing the available transmission capacity between relevant bidding zones to be validated by capacity validation in accordance with Article 19.

### Article 13

#### Description of the calculation of power transfer distribution factors

1. As a first step in the day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation process, the CCC shall merge the individual lists of CNECs provided by all TSOs in accordance with Article 5(4) into a single list, which shall constitute the initial list of CNECs.
2. In accordance with Article 29(3)(a) of the CACM Regulation, the CCC shall calculate the impact of a change in the bidding zones net position on the power flow on each CNEC of the initial list of CNECs and on each combined dynamic constraint. This influence is called the zone-to-slack *PTDF* (i.e.  $PTDF_{z2s}$ ). This calculation is performed by applying the CGM and the GSKs defined in accordance with Article 7.
3. The zone-to-slack *PTDFs* are calculated by first calculating the node-to-slack *PTDFs* (i.e.  $PTDF_{n2s}$ ) for each node defined in the GSKs. These node-to-slack *PTDFs* are derived by varying the injection of a relevant node in the CGM and recording the difference in power flow on every CNEC (expressed as a percentage of the change in injection) or on combination of network elements in case of combined dynamic constraint. These node-to-slack *PTDFs* are translated into zone-to-slack *PTDFs* by multiplying the share of each node in the GSK with the corresponding node-to-slack *PTDFs* and summing up these products per bidding zone. This calculation is mathematically described as follows:

$$PTDF_{z2s} = PTDF_{n2s} GSK_{n2z}$$

*Equation 2*

with

<b><math>PTDF_{z2s}</math></b>	matrix of zone-to-slack <i>PTDFs</i> (columns: bidding zones; rows: CNECs and combined dynamic constraints)
<b><math>PTDF_{n2s}</math></b>	matrix of node-to-slack <i>PTDFs</i> (columns: nodes; rows: CNECs and combined dynamic constraints)
<b><math>GSK_{n2z}</math></b>	GSK in a form of matrix containing the shares of each node in the net positions of the corresponding bidding zones (columns: bidding zones; rows: nodes; sum of each column equal to one)

4. The impact of HVDC network elements on the bidding zone borders within the Nordic CCR shall be taken into account by defining the connecting nodes of such HVDC network element as separate virtual bidding zones. The zone-to-slack PTDFs calculated for these virtual bidding zones are equal to node-to-slack *PTDFs* for the connecting nodes of the HVDC network element, whereas these nodes are not included in the summing up of products for real bidding zones as referred to in paragraph 3.
5. The zone-to-slack *PTDFs* as calculated above can also be expressed as zone-to-zone *PTDFs* (i.e.  $PTDF_{zzz}$ ). A zone-to-slack  $PTDF_{A,l}$  represents the influence of a variation of a net position of bidding zone A on a CNEC  $l$  and assumes a commercial exchange between a bidding zone and a slack node. A zone-to-zone  $PTDF_{A \rightarrow B,l}$  represents the influence of a variation of a commercial exchange from bidding zone A to bidding zone B on CNEC  $l$ . The zone-to-zone  $PTDF_{A \rightarrow B,l}$  can be derived from the zone-to-slack *PTDFs* as follows:

$$PTDF_{A \rightarrow B,l} = PTDF_{A,l} - PTDF_{B,l}$$

*Equation 3*

6. The cross-zonal exchange over HVDC network elements on the bidding zone borders of the Nordic CCR is modelled as a bilateral exchange in capacity allocation, and is constrained by the physical impact that this exchange has on all CNECs considered in the final FB domain used in capacity allocation.
7. The maximum zone-to-zone *PTDF* of a CNEC (i.e.  $PTDF_{zzzmax,l}$ ) is the maximum influence that any cross-zonal exchange in the Nordic CCR has on the respective CNEC, including exchanges over HVDC network elements:

$$PTDF_{zzzmax,l} = \max_{A \in BZ}(PTDF_{A,l}) - \min_{A \in BZ}(PTDF_{A,l})$$

*Equation 4*

with

$PTDF_{A,l}$	zone-to-slack <i>PTDF</i> of bidding zone A on a CNEC $l$
$BZ$	set of all Nordic bidding zones (including virtual bidding zones)
$\max_{A \in BZ}(PTDF_{A,l})$	maximum zone-to-slack <i>PTDF</i> of Nordic bidding zones on a CNEC $l$
$\min_{A \in BZ}(PTDF_{A,l})$	minimum zone-to-slack <i>PTDF</i> of Nordic bidding zones on a CNEC $l$

## Article 14

### Definition of the final list of CNECs for day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation

After the calculation of maximum zone-to-zone *PTDFs* calculated in accordance with Article 13(7), the CCC shall remove from the initial list of CNECs at least those CNECs for which the maximum zone-to-zone *PTDF* is not higher than 5%. The remaining CNECs shall constitute the final list of CNECs.

## Article 15

### Rules on the adjustment of power flows on critical network elements due to RAs

1. The RAs taken into account in capacity calculation aim to increase RAM in accordance to Article 17. These RAs are not interdependent in the sense that they would increase cross-zonal capacity on some CNECs or combined dynamic constraints and decrease it on others. For these reasons, all RAs provided by the TSOs in accordance to Article 9 shall be applied and no optimisation of RAs is necessary.
2. As the outcome of the application of RA, the CCC shall calculate for each CNEC of the final list of CNECs and each combined dynamic constraint the increase of flow on such CNEC or combined dynamic constraint due to the application of RA. This flow for increasing the RAM on each CNEC shall be expressed as  $F_{RA}$ . In case TSO(s) provided to CCC a minimum  $F_{RA}$  pursuant to Article 9(6), the CCC shall adjust the calculated  $F_{RA}$  such that it is not lower than the minimum value provided by the TSO(s).

## Article 16

### Rules for taking into account previously allocated cross-zonal capacity

1. The TSOs shall take into account the previously allocated capacity as follows:
  - (a) For day-ahead and intraday timeframe, capacity allocated for nominated Physical Transmission Rights (PTRs);
  - (b) For day-ahead and intraday timeframe, capacity allocated for cross-zonal exchange of balancing services, except those balancing services in accordance with Article 22(2)(a) of the CACM Regulation; and
  - (c) For intraday timeframe, capacity allocated for day-ahead timeframe.
2. The CCC shall take into account the previously allocated cross-zonal capacities such that the calculation of the RAM takes into account the flows resulting from previously allocated cross-zonal capacities in accordance with Article 29(7)(c) of the CACM Regulation.
3. Previously allocated cross-zonal capacities, applied for balancing capacity purposes, in accordance with Article 29(7)(c) of the CACM Regulation shall be calculated for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint by multiplying the volumes of previously allocated cross-zonal capacities with the positive zone-to-zone *PTDFs*, i.e:

$$F_{AAC} = \max(0, PTDF_{zz}) \cdot \overrightarrow{AAC}$$

Equation 5

with

$F_{AAC}$  flows resulting from previously allocated cross-zonal capacities for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint

$PTDF_{zz}$  zone-to-zone *PTDFs* calculated in accordance with Article 13(5)

$\overrightarrow{AAC}$  previously allocated cross-zonal capacities

4. The flows resulting from nominated previously allocated cross-zonal capacities for long-term timeframes, in accordance with Article 29(7)(c) of the CACM Regulation shall be calculated for

each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint by multiplying the volumes of previously allocated cross-zonal capacities with the zone-to-zone *PTDFs*, i.e:

$$F_{AAC} = PTDF_{z2z} \cdot \overrightarrow{AAC}$$

Equation 6

with

$F_{AAC}$  flows resulting from previously allocated cross-zonal capacities for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint

$PTDF_{z2z}$  zone-to-zone *PTDFs* calculated in accordance with Article 13(5)

$\overrightarrow{AAC}$  previously allocated cross-zonal capacities

5. For the intraday timeframe, the flows resulting from nominated cross-zonal capacities for the previous timeframes, in accordance with Article 29(7)(c) of the CACM Regulation shall be calculated for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint by multiplying the net positions of previously allocated cross-zonal capacities with the zone-to-slack *PTDFs*, i.e:

$$F_{AAC} = PTDF_{z2s} \cdot \overrightarrow{NP_{AAC}}$$

Equation 7

with

$F_{AAC}$  flows resulting from previously allocated cross-zonal capacities for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint

$PTDF_{z2s}$  zone-to-slack *PTDFs* calculated in accordance with Article 13(3)

$\overrightarrow{NP_{AAC}}$  Net positions of previously allocated cross-zonal capacities

## Article 17

### Description of the calculation of available margins on critical network elements before validation

1. The CCC shall use voltage limits, frequency limits and dynamic stability limits provided by TSOs to calculate for each relevant CNEC the respective  $I_{max}$  representing these limits. Subsequently, the CCC shall calculate the final  $I_{max}$  for each CNEC which shall be the lowest of all values of  $I_{max}$  calculated by the CCC or provided by TSOs for each specific CNEC.
2. The CCC shall use the final  $I_{max}$  of each CNEC calculated pursuant to paragraph 1 to calculate  $F_{max}$  for each CNEC, which describes the maximum admissible active power flow on a CNEC.  $F_{max}$  of a CNEC shall be calculated by the given formula:

$$F_{max} = \sqrt{3} \cdot I_{max} \cdot U \cdot \cos(\varphi)$$

Equation 8



with

$F_{max}$	maximum admissible flow of a CNE
$I_{max}$	maximum admissible current of a CNE
$U$	voltage for a CNE as defined in paragraph 3
$\cos(\varphi)$	power factor as defined in paragraph 3

3. The voltage  $U$  referred to in paragraph 2 shall be the average voltage on two connecting nodes of a CNE included in a CNEC resulting from the load-flow calculation on the CGM and shall not be lower than the 95% of the reference voltage of that CNE. The power factor  $\cos(\varphi)$  referred to in paragraph 2 shall be the average power factor on two connecting nodes of a CNE included in a CNEC resulting from the load-flow calculation on the CGM and shall not be lower than 0.95.
4. The CCC shall calculate the reference flow ( $F_{ref}$ ) for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint, which is the active power flow on a CNEC or combined dynamic constraint calculated with the CGM. In case of a CNEC or combined dynamic constraint without contingency,  $F_{ref}$  is simulated by directly performing the load-flow calculation on the CGM, whereas in case of a CNEC with contingency or combined dynamic constraint,  $F_{ref}$  of such CNEC or combined dynamic constraint is simulated by first applying the contingency of this CNEC or combined dynamic constraint, and then performing the load-flow calculation.
5. The CCC shall calculate for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint the linear approximation of a flow in a situation without any cross-zonal exchanges ( $F_0$ ) as follows:

$$\vec{F}_0 = \vec{F}_{ref} - \mathbf{PTDF} \cdot \vec{NP}_{ref}$$

Equation 9

with

$\vec{F}_0$	linear approximation of a flow in the reference net position on a CNEC or combined dynamic constraint in a situation without any cross-zonal exchanges
$\vec{F}_{ref}$	reference flows on all CNECs and combined dynamic constraints
$\mathbf{PTDF}$	matrix of power transfer distribution factors
$\vec{NP}_{ref}$	net position of bidding zone (including virtual bidding zones) in the reference commercial situation

The net positions ( $\vec{NP}_{ref}$ ) of virtual bidding zone include injections of the connecting nodes of the HVDC network elements, whereas the net positions of real bidding zones are excluding the injections of those connecting nodes.

6. Subsequently, the CCC shall calculate the RAM before validation for each CNEC and combined dynamic constraint as follows:

$$\vec{RAM}_{bv} = \vec{F}_{max} + \vec{F}_{RA} - \vec{F}_{RM} - \vec{F}_0 - \vec{F}_{AAC}$$

Equation 10

with

$\overrightarrow{RAM}_{bv}$	remaining available margin before validation
$\vec{F}_{max}$	maximum flow on all CNECs and combined dynamic constraints
$\vec{F}_{RA}$	flow for increasing the RAM on a CNEC or combined dynamic constraints due to RAs taken into account in capacity calculation
$\vec{F}_{RM}$	flow for reliability margin for all CNECs and combined dynamic constraints
$\vec{F}_0$	linear approximation of a flow in the reference net position on a CNEC or combined dynamic constraint in a situation without any cross-zonal exchanges
$\vec{F}_{AAC}$	flows resulting from previously allocated cross-zonal capacities for all CNECs and combined dynamic constraints

7. When the RAM value calculated pursuant to paragraph 6 is negative it shall be set to zero for day-ahead timeframe and the potential congestion resulting from negative RAM shall be managed by the application of RAs, which may include other RAs than the ones defined pursuant to Article 9. For the intraday timeframe, the RAM value calculated pursuant to paragraph 6 shall be applied also in case the value is negative.

## Article 18

### Rules for sharing the power flow capabilities of CNECs among different CCRs

1. To take into account the impact of exchanges in neighbouring CCRs on the CNECs and combined dynamic constraints within the Nordic CCR, the CCC shall calculate the cross-zonal exchanges or cross-zonal capacities on the bidding zone borders of these neighbouring CCRs by performing all steps in day-ahead and intraday calculation by assuming that bidding zone borders of neighbouring CCRs are part of the Nordic CCR and thereby the impact of exchanges on bidding zone borders outside the Nordic CCR on the CNECs within the Nordic CCR shall be calculated as well.
2. The FB parameters calculated for bidding zone borders outside the Nordic CCR shall be part of the final FB parameters as referred to in Article 19(4).
3. The CCC shall submit FB parameters or the ATC values in case of the transitional solution pursuant to Article 20, calculated for bidding zone borders of neighbouring CCRs to the CCCs of these CCRs. The FB parameters or the ATC values may limit capacity allocation on the bidding zone borders of those CCRs if such limitations are allowed within the day-ahead and intraday CCM governing capacity calculation within those CCRs.

## TITLE 4

### Description of capacity validation for day-ahead and intraday timeframe

## Article 19

### Methodology for the validation of cross-zonal capacity

1. Each TSO shall perform the validation of cross-zonal capacities on its bidding zone border(s), defined by the FB parameters on its CNECs and combined dynamic constraints, to ensure that the results of regional calculation and allocation of cross-zonal capacity will ensure operational

security. When performing the validation, the TSOs shall consider operational security, taking into account new and relevant information obtained during or after the most recent capacity calculation.

2.  $RAM_{bv}$  calculated in accordance with Article 17(6) may be adjusted during the validation by applying individual validation adjustment ( $IVA$ ) to take into account relevant information known at the time of validation in accordance with paragraph 1.  $IVA$  can be a positive value indicating reduction of cross-zonal capacities or negative value indicating increase of cross-zonal capacities.
3. The individual validation adjustment may be done in the following situations:
  - (a) an occurrence of an exceptional contingency or forced outage as defined in Article 3(39) and Article 3(77) of the SO Regulation;
  - (b) a mistake in input data, that leads to a wrong estimation of cross-zonal capacity from an operational security perspective; and/or
  - (c) when TSO(s) is unable to define exact RAs to be taken into account in capacity calculation due to uncertainty of their actual availability in real-time, but is able to evaluate the approximate adjustment of flows on CNECs or combined dynamic constraints due to RAs by taking into account the statistics and probability of the availability of RAs.
4. The final FB parameters available for capacity allocation shall be the PTDF calculated pursuant to Article 13 and the RAM calculated as follows:

$$\overrightarrow{RAM} = \overrightarrow{RAM}_{bv} - \overrightarrow{IVA}$$

*Equation 11*

with

$\overrightarrow{RAM}$	final remaining available margin
$\overrightarrow{RAM}_{bv}$	remaining available margin before validation
$\overrightarrow{IVA}$	individual validation adjustment

Each application of  $IVA$  needs to be justified by the TSOs applying it, by reporting on the need to apply  $IVA$ , and the rationale behind the value of  $IVA$ , towards the CCC and other TSOs.

5. Each CCC shall report all reductions made during the validation of cross-zonal capacity to all Nordic regulatory authorities in accordance with Article 26(5) of the CACM Regulation. This report shall include the location and amount of any reduction of cross-zonal capacities and shall give reasons for the reductions.
6. The CCC shall coordinate with the neighbouring CCCs during the validation.

**TITLE 5**  
**Miscellaneous**

**Article 20**

**Transitional solution for calculation and allocation of intraday cross-zonal capacities for continuous trading in the Intraday timeframe**

1. Until the single intraday coupling in accordance with Article 51 of the CACM Regulation is able to support the allocation of cross-zonal capacities based on FB parameters, the CCC shall transform the final FB parameters as referred to in Article 19 into available transmission capacity ('ATC') values on bidding zone borders of the Nordic CCR and bidding zone borders of neighbouring CCRs if the latter are included in capacity calculation pursuant to Article 18. For each market time unit, one set of ATC values shall be calculated.
2. The available transfer capacity  $ATC^n$  (where  $ATC^n \in ATC$ , and  $ATC$  is a vector of maximum allowed power exchange on all bidding zone borders) shall be calculated as:

$$\text{Maximize } f(\overrightarrow{ATC})$$

Subject to

$$g_j \left( \sum_n ATC^n * PTDF_j^n \right) \leq h_j(RAM_j) \quad \forall j \in \{All\ CNEs\ and\ allocation\ constraints\}$$

*Equation 12*

with

$f$	a function defining the weight for each border in the optimisation
$g_j$	a function defining the weight of each trade in the total flow on CNE $j$
$h_j$	a function defining the scaling of CNEs in non-relevant market directions
$ATC^n$	maximum available power exchange on bidding zone border $n$
$\overrightarrow{ATC}$	a vector of maximum available power exchanges for all borders
$PTDF_j^n$	zone-to-zone PTDF for bidding zone border $n$

3. Two months before the application of this transitional solution, the Nordic TSOs shall publish the exact values and parameters of the functions  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $h$ , including their description, purpose and effect. During the development process of the functions  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $h$ , Nordic regulatory authorities and stakeholders will be informed, and they may provide comments duly to be taken into account in development work.
4. No later than eighteen months after the implementation of this methodology in accordance with Article 26(2), all TSOs shall jointly develop a proposal for amendment of this methodology in accordance with Article 9(13) of the CACM Regulation, which shall improve this methodology by including the description and definition of the functions referred to in paragraph 3. This proposal shall be submitted by the same deadline to all Nordic regulatory authorities for approval.

5. Any update to the transitional solution, affecting the results of the calculation pursuant to paragraph 2, shall be done in accordance to Article 20(3) and 20(4).

## **Article 21**

### **Reassessment frequency of cross-zonal capacity for the intraday timeframe**

1. First assessment of intraday cross-zonal capacity shall be done based on CGMs for day-ahead capacity calculation timeframe and the results of the single day-ahead coupling. The cross-zonal capacity shall be released to the intraday market without undue delay. The frequency of the reassessment of intraday cross-zonal capacity shall be dependent on the availability of input data relevant for capacity calculation and execution of intraday auctions, as well as any events impacting the cross-zonal capacity.
2. Reassessment of intraday cross-zonal capacity shall be done at the frequency the CGM for the intraday timeframe is made available in accordance with the CGM methodology developed in line with Article 17 of the CACM Regulation, for each intraday auction pursuant to methodology developed in accordance with Article 55(3) of the CACM Regulation and in case of a fault in the power system. The latest available CGM is applied in the reassessment of cross-zonal capacities. Any change in cross-zonal capacity due to a reassessment shall be released to the intraday market without undue delay.

## **Article 22**

### **Fallback procedure if the initial capacity calculation does not lead to any results**

1. When day-ahead or intraday capacity calculation fails to provide the FB parameters for two or less consecutive market time units, the CCC shall calculate the missing FB parameters as being the minimum of the FB parameters, which have been successfully calculated for adjoining market time units.
2. When day-ahead or intraday capacity calculation fails to provide the FB parameters for three or more consecutive hours, the CCC shall apply the default FB parameters. These default FB parameters shall be based on latest calculated FB parameters for the same market time unit and market time frame taken from daily, weekly, monthly or yearly capacity calculation.

## **Article 23**

### **Monitoring data to the Nordic regulatory authorities**

1. All technical and statistical information related to this CCM shall be made available upon request to the Nordic regulatory authorities.
2. Monitoring data shall be provided to the Nordic regulatory authorities as a basis for supervising a non-discriminatory and efficient capacity calculation in Nordic CCR.
3. Any data requirements mentioned above should be managed in line with confidentiality requirements pursuant to national legislation.

## **Article 24**

### **Reviews and updates**

1. Based on Article 3(f) of the CACM Regulation and in accordance with Article 27(4) of the CACM Regulation, all TSOs shall regularly and at least once a year review and update the key input and output parameters listed in Article 27(4)(a) to (d) of the CACM Regulation.

2. If any of the day-ahead and intraday calculation inputs pursuant to Articles 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 9 need to be updated based on this review, the TSOs shall publish the changes at least 1 month before their implementation.
3. Any changes of parameters listed in Article 27(4) of the CACM Regulation shall be communicated to market participants, all Nordic regulatory authorities and the Agency.
4. The TSOs shall communicate the impact of any change of parameters listed in Article 27(4)(d) of the CACM Regulation to market participants, all Nordic regulatory authorities and the Agency. If any change leads to an adaptation of the methodology, the TSOs shall make a proposal for amendment of this methodology according to Article 9(13) of the CACM Regulation.

## **Article 25**

### **Publication of data**

1. In accordance with Article 3(f) of the CACM Regulation aiming at ensuring and enhancing the transparency and reliability of information to all Nordic regulatory authorities and market participants, all TSOs and the CCC shall regularly publish the data on the day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation process pursuant to this methodology as set forth in paragraph 2 on a dedicated online communication platform where capacity calculation data for the whole Nordic CCR shall be published. To enable market participants to have a clear understanding of the published data, all TSOs and the CCC shall develop a handbook and publish it on this communication platform. This handbook shall include at least a description of each data item, including its unit and underlying convention.
2. The TSOs shall publish at least the following data items (in addition to the data items and definitions of Commission Regulation (EU) No 543/2013 on submission and publication of data in electricity markets):
  - a) final FB parameters for each market time unit pursuant to Article 19(4);
  - b) in case of application of transitional solution pursuant to Article 20 for each market time unit the ATC values for all bidding zone borders in Nordic CCR calculated pursuant to Article 20;
  - c) the following additional information for market time unit:
    - i. maximum and minimum possible net position of each bidding zone;
    - ii. maximum possible bilateral exchanges on all Nordic bidding zone borders;
    - iii. names of CNECs (with geographical names of substations where relevant and separately for CNE and contingency) and combined dynamic constraints of the final FB parameters and the TSO defining them;
    - iv. for each CNEC of the final FB parameters, the EIC code of CNE and Contingency;
    - v. for each CNEC of the final FB parameters, the method for determining  $I_{max}$  in accordance with Article 4(3);
    - vi. detailed breakdown of *RAM* for each CNEC of the final FB parameters: final  $I_{max}$ ,  $U$ ,  $F_{max}$ ,  $F_{RA}$ ,  $F_{RM}$ ,  $F_{ref}$ ,  $F_0$ ,  $F_{AAC}$  and *IVA*;
    - vii. detailed breakdown of the *RAM* for each combined dynamic constraint:  $F_{max}$ ,  $F_{RA}$ ,  $F_{RM}$ ,  $F_{ref}$ ,  $F_0$ ,  $F_{AAC}$  and *IVA*;
    - viii. information about the individual validation reductions:

- the identification of the CNEC;
  - in case of reduction due to individual validation, the TSO invoking the reduction;
  - the volume of reduction (*IVA*);
  - the detailed reason(s) for reduction, including the operational security limit(s) that would have been violated without reductions, and under which circumstances they would have been violated;
- ix. for each RA taken into account in day-ahead and intraday calculation:
- type of RA;
  - location of RA;
  - whether the RA was curative or preventive;
  - if the RA was curative, a list of CNEC identifiers describing the CNECs to which the RA was associated;
  - the provided minimum  $F_{RA}$  pursuant Article 9(5) including the underlying statistics;
- x. the forecast information contained in the CGM:
- vertical load for each Nordic bidding zone and each TSO;
  - production for each Nordic bidding zone and each TSO;
  - for each Nordic bidding zone and each TSO;
  - reference net positions of all bidding zones in the synchronous area Nordic and reference exchanges for all HVDC network elements within the synchronous area Nordic and between the synchronous area Nordic and other synchronous areas.
3. Individual Nordic TSO may choose not to identify the CNEC concerned and specify its location when publishing the information referred to in paragraph 2(c) if it is classified as sensitive critical infrastructure protection related information in their Member States as provided for in point (d) of Article 2 of Council Directive 2008/114/EC of 8 December 2008 on the identification and designation of European critical infrastructures and the assessment of the need to improve their protection. In such a case, the withheld information shall be replaced with an anonymous identifier, which shall be stable for each CNEC across day-ahead and intraday capacity calculation time frames, as well as all long-term capacity calculation time frames. The anonymous identifier shall also be used in the other TSO communications related to the CNEC and when communicating about an outage or an investment in infrastructure. The information about which information has been withheld pursuant to this paragraph shall be published on the communication platform referred to in paragraph 1.
4. Any change in the identifiers shall be publicly notified at least one month before its publication.
5. If a TSO provides evidence to its regulatory authority that the provision of anonymised stable identifiers is not sufficient to prevent the identification of network elements, and is therefore not compliant with its national legislation, they can be exempted from the requirements of stable identifiers pursuant to paragraphs 3 and 4. The final list of the publication data will be provided before go-live.
6. The data shall be published as soon as available and no later than:

- (a) for day-ahead capacity calculation, one hour before single day-ahead coupling gate closure time, for each market time unit; and
  - (b) for intraday capacity calculation, one hour before the first single intraday allocation and then real-time, for each market time unit
7. The Nordic regulatory authorities may request additional information to be published by the TSOs. For this purpose, all Nordic regulatory authorities shall coordinate their requests among themselves and consult it with stakeholders. Each Nordic TSO may decide not to publish the additional information, which was not requested by its regulatory authority.

## **TITLE 6 Final Provisions**

### **Article 26 Publication and Implementation**

1. The TSOs shall publish the capacity calculation methodology of the Second Amendment without undue delay after all Nordic regulatory authorities have approved the Second Amendment or a decision has been taken by the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators in accordance with Article 9(10), Article 9(11) and 9(12) of the CACM Regulation.
2. The TSOs shall implement the capacity calculation methodology of the Second Amendment on all bidding zone borders within the Nordic CCR after the CGM methodology developed in accordance with Article 17 of the CACM Regulation, the market coupling operator function developed in accordance with Article 7(3) of the CACM Regulation, the relevant requirements set in algorithm submitted in accordance with Article 37(5), and the CCC in Nordic CCR has been set up in accordance with Article 27 of the CACM Regulation, are implemented in the Nordic CCR. The milestones and the criteria for implementing the Second Amendment are presented in Table 1. A milestone is reached after all criteria listed above in the table for that milestone are being met.
3. Parallel runs according to Article 20 of CACM Regulation may start in the fourth quarter of 2020 at the earliest due to time required for procurement, development and testing of the industrial FB capacity calculation tool.



Table 1. Milestones and criteria for implementation of FB approach for day-ahead timeframe

#	Milestone	Criteria to be met before moving to the next milestone
1	Market simulations in Simulation Facility using prototype FB tool (overlapping with milestone #2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Requirements/specifications for the industrialized tool are finished and are based on the CCM and the experience gained by using the prototype tool;</li> <li>• In order to increase transparency, stakeholders are involved in the development of stakeholder information tool;</li> <li>• Nordic regulatory authorities have approved the CCM.</li> </ul>
2	Investment decision - FB industrialized tool	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To the extent possible, FB market simulations are performed, and results are published to the stakeholders;</li> <li>• GSK and RM methodologies are ready for parallel run purposes;</li> <li>• CGMs are available and can be applied in the capacity calculation:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Steady-state CGM is available to allow active and reactive power flow and voltage analyses in steady state;</li> <li>○ TSOs apply local dynamic grid model to calculate dynamic stability limits;</li> </ul> </li> <li>• KPIs for a go-live of the FB approach have been specified, in dialogue with Nordic regulatory authorities and stakeholders.</li> </ul>

3	Parallel runs including FB and NTC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Parallel runs are performed in TSO and NEMO systems (being the simulation facility or market operations system for single day-ahead coupling) and capacity calculation parameters are submitted to NEMOs' systems daily as with current NTC approach: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Precondition is that Euphemia is able to handle FB parameters for a larger area including Nordic CCR when performing calculations for the geographical scope of single day-ahead coupling;</li> </ul> </li> <li>• An evaluation report is written by the TSOs and delivered to the NRAs for assessment. Before submitting the report, the TSOs shall organize a stakeholder meeting based on the draft evaluation report. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The report shall be submitted to the NRAs earliest 5 months after parallel runs with continuous publications of results have started.</li> <li>○ The report shall cover at least a consecutive 3-month period of parallel runs, as close in time as possible to the publication of the report. All data presented in the report should be made available to NRAs, on a per MTU level of granularity.</li> <li>○ The report shall include at least the following, based on a per MTU level of granularity: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- A calculation of DA socio-economic effects (as measured by delta in consumers' surplus, producers' surplus and congestion income) from flow-based capacity calculation compared to the current capacity calculation method in use. The geographical area for this calculation shall be the Nordic market area plus neighboring countries if possible.</li> <li>- If the accumulated DA socio-economic effect of flow-based is negative over any two-week period, the TSOs shall provide analysis and explain why this occurred.</li> <li>- Percentage and number of MTUs where fallback measures (in accordance with Article 22) have been used. TSOs should also analyse the reasons for the use of fallback measures and include this analysis in the report.</li> <li>- Percentage and number of MTUs where delays in having FB parameters ready for delivery in time for the allocation mechanism by CCC have occurred. Each occurrence of a delay should be explicitly reported along with the reasons for the delay.</li> <li>- Percentage and number of MTUs where the availability of FB parameters for publication as required by the Transparency Regulation ((EU) 543/2013) has been delayed. Each occurrence of a delay should be explicitly reported along with the reasons for the delay.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>
---	------------------------------------	--

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Information on how the capacities available for trade in the intraday-market are affected by the implementation of this methodology for the day-ahead timeframe. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Quantitative data on the expected opening capacities for ID should be provided on MTU level. The calculations shall be performed using either a prototype tool or the industrialised tool.</li> <li>- A qualitative assessment and explanation should be provided.</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Stakeholder feedback received in written form during the parallel runs. The feedback should be complemented with TSOs response to the comments received from stakeholders.</li> <li>○ If the NRAs' common assessment of the first or any subsequent report comes to the conclusion that FB is not operating at a sufficient level, the TSOs will be given further time to develop the operational implementation of the methodology. In this case, the TSOs are required to send a new report covering at least 3 consecutive months of additional parallel runs. The second or any subsequent report after that shall have the same requirements as the first report.</li> <li>● At the minimum 12 months of continuous parallel runs, where the KPIs are published at least on a monthly basis;</li> <li>● Results from the capacity calculations in the parallel runs are published daily;</li> <li>● Results of the market simulations of the parallel runs for each trading day are published as soon as possible</li> <li>● KPIs for a go-live of the FB capacity calculation have been met</li> <li>● The NRAs have received the evaluation report and have not, within one month, communicated a joint opinion to TSOs that the results from parallel runs show that the methodology is not functioning at a sufficient level.</li> <li>● The TSOs have continued the parallel runs continuously for 6 months after awaiting the NRAs' assessment of the evaluation report.</li> </ul>
4	FB go-live	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● KPIs for a go-live of TSOs applying a dynamic CGM for the calculation of <math>F_{max}</math> for CNECs have been specified, in dialogue with Nordic regulatory authorities and stakeholders;</li> <li>● Dynamic CGM – pilot model: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Establish common data format for exchanging dynamic data;</li> <li>○ Develop common processes for exchanging dynamic data;</li> <li>○ Issue and validate the pilot dynamic model;</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Dynamic CGM - production model: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ IT tool(s) for production grade model has been identified;</li> <li>○ Validation of production grade model against other simulation tools and system incidents performed;</li> <li>○ Parallel run between new and existing processes (TSO-to-TSO);</li> </ul> </li> <li>● KPIs for a go-live of TSOs applying a dynamic CGM for the calculation of <math>F_{max}</math> for CNECs have been met.</li> </ul>

5	TSOs apply a dynamic CGM for calculation of $F_{max}$ for CNECs (intermediate process to take into account dynamics)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Processes and rules: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Develop processes for updating methodologies and tools;</li> <li>○ Develop processes for upgrading and validating dynamic CGM;</li> <li>○ Description of intermediate (TSO-to-TSO) and target (including the CC) solutions;</li> <li>○ Development of business processes;</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Development of IT requirements and specifications;</li> <li>• Tendering and procurement of IT tools;</li> <li>• Implementation (including parallel run);</li> </ul>
6	The CCC will calculate $F_{max}$ for CNECs taking into account operational security limits (to take into account dynamics)	

### **Article 27 Language**

The reference language for this Second Amendment shall be English. For the avoidance of doubt, where TSOs need to translate this Second Amendment into their national language(s), in the event of inconsistencies between the English version published by TSOs in accordance with Article 9(14) of the CACM Regulation and any version in another language, the relevant TSOs shall be obliged to dispel any inconsistencies by providing a revised translation of this Second Amendment to their relevant national regulatory authorities.